

## ESSAY 15 - METAPOLITICS

by Alexander Wolfheze

### Albus in Albis: An 'Islam Question' Encore

orig. Amerika.org 5 September 2019

*This essay serves as an addendum to the author's earlier essay 'The "Islam Question"' (Essay 14) and it clarifies specific issues, raised in comments on its online version as well as in Spencer Quinn's riposte, 'True Muslims, True Scotsmen', Counter-Currents, 16 July 2019 - the quotes below pertain to some of those comments and to that riposte.*

#### **'True White'**

In American public discourse, the racial qualifier 'Caucasian' tends to substantially, but not fully, overlap with the qualifier 'White': strictly speaking, the former term is considerably wider than the latter. Although often confused, the terms have different scientific and social reference points: 'Caucasian' tends to have a strong anthropometric connotation, whereas the term 'White' tends to be culturally defined. In anthropological theory, the pre-modern (Pre-Columbian) 'Caucasian Race' habitat includes not only most of Europe but also large sections of North Africa and West Asia - it covers many populations that radically differ from Europe's indigenous populations in appearance and culture. In sociological theory, the contemporary 'White Race' identity is conventionally associated only with these populations plus their overseas settler descendants in the New World, South Africa and Oceania - a rather stringent endogamic 'one-drop rule' tends to exclude all their mixed-race offspring. In American public discourse, this 'one-drop rule' is supplemented by a strict exclusion of Latin American populations: these 'Hispanics' are commonly considered to fall outside the American racial qualifier 'White'. For the sake of clarity and argument, this essay will use this *narrowest* racial qualifier 'White'.

Thus, this essay will indulge the thought experiment that the 'White Race' is not a *social* construct, but that it is a *biological* construct - a genetically and phenotypically definable 'sub-sub-species', limited to a specified, narrow group. As he has pointed out on many previous occasions, the author of this essay does not think that any such exclusively *material* (biological, genetic, phenotypic) definition of race is particularly valuable as a culture-historical or meta-political concept. But the defence of such a 'White Race' may indeed provide a convenient first rallying point for the Real Right in the face of the all-out ethnic replacement (or rather: inundation) strategy that the globalist hostile elite is currently employing against the indigenous peoples of Europe and their overseas descendants. If, however, the Real Right is to ever switch from a defensive to an offensive strategy it does not *suffice*. The 'fight for phenotype' is lost from the outset: people may be motivated to fight for a group that they *identify* with - 'phenotypical similarity' simply does not *suffice* as an identity marker. Phenotype may be a factor (of varying weight) in the complicated equations of long-term cultural-historical identity, it still is only *one* factor - there are many others (language and religion being of at least equal weight in any case). The 'divided we fall' examples of Native America vs. White America and of Europe's White-on-White World Wars prove the point. The 'everybody for himself and no

God for us all' mentality dominating Western public discourse in neo-liberalism and cultural-marxism' proves it again. The 'fight for phenotype' will certainly fail to inspire the hearts and minds of the great mass 'Whites'. At least, not until a sufficient percentage of 'Whites' have their backs to the wall and a knife at their throat *at the same time*. And that the satanically devious calculus of the globalist hostile elite will never allow, at least not till the relative percentage of 'Whites' in the globalist melting pot falls below the critical algorithmic level (a level that the ruling South African plutocracy seems to be currently probing). But given the fact that the Real Right is still very much a project in the making, and that it is still very much at the stage of rearguard action in need of fall-back positions and rallying points, it may be useful to somewhat indulge the thought experiment of the biologically defined 'White Race'.

### ***'True Right'***

Within the Real Right, one possible aspect of this thought experiment tends to be particularly under-investigated: the phenomenon of White-on-White Genocide. A historical study of the phenomenon of White-on-White Genocide may benefit the Real Right in solving at least one of the many issues that continue to weaken it through ideology-driven infighting and sectarian internal division: the so-called 'Islamic Question'. Perhaps the example of the phenomenon most relevant to this question is found in the 19<sup>th</sup> Century Great Caucasian War and its follow-up, the so-called Circassian Genocide. These episodes saw the (near) destruction of the indigenous White nations of the north-western and eastern Caucasus under imperialist 'steamroller' of White Tsarist Russia. These indigenous peoples were partially Pagan and partially Christian but mostly Muslim. They were not destroyed in the course of some 'religious war', but simply because they stood in the way of modernist (material, technological, social-darwinist, evolutionary) 'progress'. As the White peoples of today stand in the way of the globalist hostile elite.

The ethnic replacement strategy of the globalist hostile elite has brought a wave of Muslim immigration into Western and Central Europe as well as the great cities of the overseas Anglosphere. These immigrant populations - plural because they are divided into many different ethnic groups with many different socio-religious practices - inhabit the kind of cohesive, semi-collectivist and semi-Traditional life-worlds that the indigenous populations abandoned two or three generations ago. They *positively* live and experience cohesions - ethnic identity, social structure and religious commitment - that the indigenous populations now only know in a *negative* sense (as in non-dual 'citizenship', individual 'freedom' and 'cultural Christianity'). To the extent that the outer life-world of the still semi-Traditional (Muslim) immigrant populations is increasingly intruding into the public sphere at the expense of the already fully-modernized (ex-Christian) but numerically declining indigenous populations, conflict is inevitable. For many indigenous people, the growing public presence of 'Islam' is the most visible aspect of the immigrant conquest of the great cities of the West: it is inevitably associated with the atrocious terror, homicidal crime, industrial-scale rape, systematic vandalism, economic dispossession and infrastructure collapse that characterize the slow-motion Fall of the West. These are, however, the inevitable by-products of *all* processes of bio-evolutionary competition, where the *losers* of 'natural selection' fall into civilizational decay, cultural decadence and social implosion: the statistics of that describe the final downfall of the Native Americans, the Australian Aboriginals and many other shrinking 'primitive native' populations prove the point. It is true that the globalist hostile elite artificially creates, promotes and enforces

the ethnic replacement process for its own purposes (consumer base expansion, ethnic business trade boosting, labour market dilution, electoral base-engineering, etc.). But it is also true that it does *not* specifically favour *Muslim* mass-immigration - it simply favours *all* mass-immigration, to the extent that it serves the globalist interests and that it weakens national interests. The Muslim presence merely happens to be the most *visible* alien presence in the West - as such, it provides the globalist hostile elite with a perfect tool to 'divide and rule': Islamophobia.

By focussing on 'Islam' alone, the 'populist right' effectively serves as a political lightning rod to distract the public debate from attending to the real issue: *mass immigration as such*. Populist 'civil nationalism', which merely wishes to force Muslim immigrants into the socio-economic straightjacket of secularism, individualism, consumerism and nihilism leaves intact the basic liberalist-normativist worldview and its cultural-relativist universalism narrative. This kind of civil nationalist populism lashes out at 'Islam' but leaves in place that what brought 'Islam' into the West in the first place: the globalist hostile elite. As the Islamophobe populists indulge in facile psychological generalizations, infantile 'theological' criticisms and biased historical 'analyses', the globalist hostile elite remains scot-free. In the best case, they use their Islam-bashing as a convenient disguise to fight immigration policies - but without ever attending to the underlying assumptions and interests that sustain these policies. In the worst case, they are political opportunists in search of a short-cut to parliamentary perks and publishing royalties. Unfortunately, some of that old populist-style civil nationalist Islamophobia has carried over into the Real Right. It is time to rid the Real Right of this counter-productive anachronism: primitivist Islamophobia is incompatible with an intellectually and politically mature Real Right. It infects the Real Right with the 'civil nationalist' memetic virus, it damages the Real Right's public credibility and it limits the Real Right's space for political manoeuvre.

The remaining old-style Islamophobes within the Real Right may still label Islam as 'opportunistic and expansionist theocracy' - they forget that Christianity may very well be typified in the same way if we look at history at the level of schoolboy simplification. *Islam is not 'anti-white'. Islam is racially neutral, it is universal in appeal and tries to encompass the whole world and herein lies its problem. Since it tries to expand with the sword it comes into conflict with the whole non-Muslim world. The conflict with the (formally) Christian West is only part of that conflict and there are no racial motives involved. Islam is also in conflict with animist Africa, Hindu India and Buddhist Asia, again with no racial motives involved* (Franklin Ryckaert). Islam is not any more 'anti-white' than Christianity. The remaining old-style Islamophobes within the Real Right may mistake the mental self-justification construct that is called 'Islam' by opportunist immigrant colonizers for the authentic Tradition that lies at the heart of Islam - as they may mistake the 'New Age' pseudo-Christianity of immigrant-importing 'progressive churches' for the authentic Tradition that once was the beating heart of Europe. They may misread and hate Islam for the same reason that they misunderstand and despise Christianity: because they think themselves above the simple rules of spiritual life and transcendental reference that guided their ancestors - and that guide all peoples still living in communally and individually viable Traditions. If the Western peoples are to survive they will have to re-conquer, re-invent and re-live the Western Tradition. Their fundamental problem is not the strength of Islam: it is the weakness of the 'White West' - its lack of transcendental inspiration, authentic vision and communal spirit.

Before it can become truly superior to its many enemies, the Real Right needs to purge itself: it should become *lean and mean* - it should transform itself into a formidable fighting machine that has no stain and no weaknesses. It should become worthy of its historical calling - which is an irresistible crusade against the perverse evil that is oppressing the West. It should purge itself from all that what is holding it back: sentimental nostalgias of the 'Third Reich' type, anachronistic ideas of the 'Islamophobia' type and outdated attachments of the secular-libertarian type. This does *not* mean that the Real Right should pursue a purist and sectarian path. Rather opposite: it should exclude no vision, no idea and no person - all those that are drawn to it have their place and their use. All opposites and streams within the Real Right - and Western society by extension - must fuse and work together for a higher purpose. That purpose is a glorious future for the West.

The True Right that will rise from the Real Right should be nothing less than an all-conquering world vision that unifies and purifies all that was before it. This means reconquering the authentic source energies of Western Tradition, scattering the perceptual limits of the globalist modernity and incorporating the strengths of its enemies. To the extent that the Muslim immigration wave presents the Real Right with the problem of bio-evolutionary competition, it should also *learn* from that competition - it should adjust, outcompete and overcome it in *all* ways. This is what it would mean for Real Right 'to come to terms' with Islam: it should absorb all that is strong and superior in it. This is what it means to *eat the heart of your enemy*. In ancient Nordic lore, the conquering hero is required to eat the heart of his slain enemy: only this is what gives him superior knowledge and, through that knowledge, superior power.

### ***'True Heart'***

Псалъэ гуауэкIэ фымыуэ,  
Фи гум ивмыгъэкI гуцIэгъу.  
ПцIы фыупсу фыщымыуэ,  
Псалъэ пэжыр фцIы ныбжьэгъу.  
*Do not fire words that hurt and scar  
From thy heart compassion cast not afar  
Do not trip thy soul and pronounce lies  
Make thy words, my friend, true and wise*  
- Leonid Dudey

The *holistic* and *synergic* 'True Right' vision outlined above requires the Real Right to rise above its current 'ugly duckling' self. To set an example, the author foregoes a (childishly easy) 'eat your heart out' response to the 'critical comments' that may attach themselves to his previous, present and future words. In his heart every reader knows where and when 'criticisms' and 'commentaries' cross that very fine line into innuendo, paranoia and maliciousness - and nobody knows it better than the 'line crosser' himself. The only thing that the readers and writers of the Real Right should remember is this: that its enemies rule by dividing it. That they rejoice when the Real Right splits along words such as 'X's position is closer to the truth than Y's', 'Y's points is a direct challenge to X's point', 'only X can be correct', 'Y indulges soft proselytizing'. The globalist hostile elite rejoices when the Real Right splits. The easiest and cheapest form of White Genocide is *White Fratricide*. The easiest victory over the Real Right is achieved by its own little drama of 'White Suicide'. The intelligent questioners and truth

seekers of the Real Right should take care not to fall in the role of Pharisees, who seek to see only the splinters in the eyes of their fellows. Truth should arise in the assembly of men, but it can only arise when it is spoken from a True Heart.

For the Real Right, this True Heart equation should be simple enough. The Real Right can unite around one basic idea: the love of the people that it defends - the Western people. They may be called 'White' by those who wish to do so, as long as the heart intent is true and it rises above immature hatred of 'others'. This True Heart will allow the Real Right to defeat its true enemy: the globalist hostile elite. This True Heart will allow it to see through the webs of lies spun by its enemy - including its 'Islamophobe' lightning rod agenda. The truth is that there will be no 'Islamic Question' left to discuss if the Real Right rally around - and sticks to - its basic ethno-nationalist vision. A non-dogmatic and well-calibrated implementation of that vision (cf. Chapter 6) would immediately dissolve the spectre of the 'Islamic Question'. This vision may require localized and temporary adjustments and it may - eventually - be followed by greater visions, but it will have to do for now. The Real Right should learn to focus. The Real Right should overcome the emotional immaturities and psychological imbalances of the 'morning after' its 'red pill' - it should not indulge in childish tantrums against the many 'feel bad' realities it has discovered. It should not be seduced by the *easier* fights of internal bickering and scapegoat bashing. There are better fights to be had. It should prepare to face its real enemy: the *globalist hostile elite* - not 'Islam'.

### ***'True Islam'***

The Traditionalist heritage of Archaeo-Futurism, some of which is found in *Rupes Nigra*, does not 'defend Islam' (no authentic Tradition needs defence), it does not 'trumpet Islam' (Traditionalism does not prioritize any one Tradition) and it does not 'embellish the mystique of any "other"'. In the *other-worldly* heart of any Tradition, there is no 'other'. Rather, in *this world*, a Traditionist-informed Archaeo-Futurism insists on unique self-realization for each unique individual and each unique community. In the political sphere, the self-realization of nations and peoples requires the sovereign right to self-determination - it is incompatible with globalist universalism and cultural-marxist relativism. This is one of the points at which Traditionalism informs, affirms and upholds the Real Right movement its basic ethno-nationalist vision.

If Traditionist-informed Archaeo-Futurism teaches that *there is* such a thing as *True Islam*, it also teaches that *there is* such a thing as the supposedly illusory "True Scotsman": the True Scotsman creates himself by *living* it - that is *power* (contra Spencer Quinn). In other words: *Let Scotsmen determine who is entitled to call himself a true Scotsman* (James O'Meara). Here applies the saying that even if only one person incorporates a Truth, it is still a Truth. Traditionist-informed Archaeo-Futurism does not decide what is *thought* to be True Islam or a True Scotsman - it merely *records* their presence and upholds their legitimate rights. In other words: *[T]he limits of orthodoxy within a particular school of thought is the kind of debate that is best left to the adherents of that school of thought, not outside observers* (James O'Meara). Traditionist-informed Archaeo-Futurism stands above the 'logical' sophistry, the counter-productive 'hyper-rationalization' and the false 'objectivity' of historical-materialism: it merely affirms and confirms true *power* - the kind of power is *absolute* because it is *incorporated*.

True Islam is true power also - a power *type* that is found in all true religions. As such as, it can never be entirely alien to authentic Western Tradition, which is also expressed in True (i.e. pre-modern) Christianity. So no, Islam is *not* a 'religion of brown people' - it never was and never will be. There are Whiter-than-White nations that are predominantly Muslim. In fact, the arguably *Most White* nations of the world are either predominantly or very substantially Muslim: these are the 'True Caucasians' living in South Russia and Transcaucasia. They are (pre-historically speaking) *more indigenous* to their ancient lands than the Indo-Europeans will ever be in Europe. They are genetically, phenotypically and culturally 'purer' than the 'Whites' of Europe. Their exquisitely beautiful women were the envy of the pre-modern world. Their ferociously brave men were the nightmare of their modern enemies. Still, they suffered the horrendous White-on-White Circassian Genocide of the mid-19<sup>th</sup> Century. The remnants of the Circassians (*Adyghe*), living partially in ancestral land 'reserves' and partially in Middle Eastern exile, now mostly adhere to Islam (mostly in 'specialized' adaptations). The surviving Abkhazians (*Apswa*) reconquered their Black Sea coastal land in a true David-and-Goliath fight in the early 1990's - they harmoniously combine Islam and Christianity with their ancient native religion. Other such 'White-and-Muslim' nations are found scattered throughout Eastern Europe and the Balkans.

Even within the Western heartland itself, there have always been some historical 'oddities' that incorporated Islam in private. These have included some of the West's most exceptional minds. Here is a very non-exhaustive shortlist for lazy readers. Within the Traditionalist School: Titus Burckhardt, Nun Ha Mim Keller, René Guénon, Martin Lings, Jean-Louis Michon, Frithjof Schuon. From theology: George Baker, Cary Legenhausen, Marmaduke Pickthall, Huston Smith. Within academia: Rolf von Ehrenfels, Myriam François, Joel Hayward. Much longer lists can be provided for more controversial areas such as artists, military men and 'Old Right' activists.

Of these 'White-but-Muslim' peoples and of these 'White Convert' individuals it can not be said that they *convert... because [Islam] projects strength, and they either want to be on the winning team or fear muslim violence. Cowards convert (that from comment written over a pseudonym)*. The facts show the opposite of what many Islamophobes believe: the category 'Muslim' is not in any way relevant to the definition of what it means to be a Westerner, a European - or White. In the author's home nation, the Netherlands, many 'lily white' natives adhere to religions much further removed from the Christian Tradition of their ancestors than Islam will ever be. There are sizable numbers of Dutch Buddhists and Baha'i - they live peaceful and productive lives with private beliefs that are entirely compatible with the greater good. There are many more Dutch men and women who have even gone further: they have entirely forsaken God altogether (a thing that could never be said to their 'convert' compatriots) - but even if they left the God of their ancestors, they yet remain part of the Dutch people. For all of them, it is also true that *...[i]n all cases they will still be white people, with white sensibilities doing stuff white people like and keeping their contact with non-whites to an absolute minimum ('Vagrant Rightist')*. There may come a time that the indigenous peoples of Europe, irrespective of their (un)believes, may be forced to take a stand against the forces that seek to destroy their nations. It is only then that we will see who will *die fighting for the ideals of their own people, no matter how desperate* ('Eordred').

The Real Right is tasked with formulating viable - democratic, legal, realistic - strategies for *avoiding* the bleak future scenario of a bio-evolutionary fight-to-the-death. It is tasked with performing an emergency operation on the dangerously sick body politic of the West. Many intellectual pitfalls will have to be avoided for that operation to succeed. Many comfortable illusions will have to go out of the window. One of these illusions is the thought that 'enlightenment' values are in any way authentically 'Western'. Another is the thought that its derivatives, total 'individual freedom' and militant 'secular humanism', are in any way compatible with the survival of the indigenous peoples of Europe. The propaganda slogans of the globalist hostile elite - 'freedom of speech', 'representative government', 'checks and balances', 'human rights', 'democracy' - are *counterfeits*, based on these 'enlightenment' derivatives. They merely tap into sub-rational emotions and illusions - they are not realities. The reality is *globalist*: it is neo-liberal and cultural-marxist at once. For the indigenous people of Europe and their settler descendants overseas it now includes 'algorithmic' digital censorship, undemocratic transnational governance, corrupt cartel politics, obscene wealth imbalances, enforced interethnic wealth redistribution, 'open border' mass immigration and socio-cultural 'deconstruction'. This reality is enforced by the globalist hostile elite. The true enemy facing 'White people' is *not* True Islam - it is the *globalist hostile elite*.

### ***'True North'***

All this leaves an important question unanswered 'what is compatible with the West?' But that is precisely how it should be: it is up to Western peoples to decide on the answer. The answer that Traditionalist-informed Archaeo-Futurism can suggest is this: it is all that is *High, Beautiful* and *True*. The Western peoples will decide that on what that will be. What the Real Right can do is this: to point out True North - but also provide a realistic roadmap.

*A compass, I learnt when I was surveying, it'll point you True North from where you're standing, but it's got no advice about the swamps and dessert and chasm that you'll encounter along the way. If in pursuit of your destination, you plunge ahead, heedless of obstacles, and achieve nothing more than to sink in a swamp... What's the use of knowing True North? - Abraham Lincoln*