

ESSAY 24 – BOOK REVIEW

by Alexander Wolfheze

From the Arsenal of Hephaestus

- Ten Archaeo-Futurist Perspectives on the Ideology of the Hostile Elite
in the Exegesis of Robert Steuckers' *Sur et autour de Carl Schmitt. Un monument revisité*
(Les Edition du Lore, 2018)
orig. Geopolitika.ru 10 January 2019

***Prologue: the Anatomy Lesson of Carl Schmitt and Robert Steuckers*¹**

*Without power, righteousness cannot flourish,
without righteousness, the world will flounder in ashes and dust*
- Guru Gobind Singh

Some aspects of the intellectual heritage of German legal philosopher Carl Schmitt (1888-1985) have already been dealt with by the author (Wolfheze, *Alba Rosa*, 65ff.) - this chapter is meant to look at Schmitt's scientific oeuvre in more detail. The recent publication of the latest book of Belgian Real Right publicist Robert Steuckers affords a suitable opportunity for revisiting Schmitt's work in a more comprehensive fashion. In the Low Countries, Steuckers' book *Sur et autour de Carl Schmitt* represents the first substantial monograph dedicated to the rehabilitation of Schmitt's highly original - and highly topical philosophy of law.² For many years, Schmitt's intellectual universe and life-world were effectively 'taboo' due to his - complex and therefore easily vulgarized - association with the Nazi regime. It is a fact that Schmitt became a member of the NSDAP in May 1933, only a few months after Hitler's seizure of power, and that he supported Hitler's authoritarian amputation of the Weimar institutions - as did nearly all other German men and women at the time. It is a fact that he was interned by the American occupation authorities after the downfall of the Third Reich³ and that he consistently refused to be subjected to the politically correct 'second baptism' of semi-obligatory 'denazification'. His principled stance against foreign occupation cost him his academic career and social status. This stance, however, was not inspired by any great enthusiasm - or even basic respect - for the Nazi regime: in Schmitt's view, this regime was fatally flawed in terms of higher legitimacy and historical authenticity.⁴ After *Stunde Null*, Schmitt simply refused the new ideological

¹ A reference to the title of one of the most famous works of Dutch Golden Age painter Rembrandt, entitled 'The Anatomy Lesson of Dr. Nicolaes Tulp' (1632).

² Note that Alain de Benoist's *Carl Schmitt actuel* provides a concise and updated introduction to Schmitt's work. It has recently been published in English translation by Arktos Publishing - for a review cf. <https://www.counter-currents.com/carl-schmitt-today/>.

³ On the day of Hitler's death Schmitt was arrested in Berlin by Red Army troops, but he was released almost immediately after a short interview. Later, he was re-arrested and interned by the Americans as a potential suspect in the Nuremberg Trials. Plettenberg, the place of Schmitt's birth, residence and death, is located in Westphalia and it was therefore located in the American Zone of Occupation.

⁴ The following excerpt from his diary elucidates Schmitt's deeply critical attitude to the subrational-collectivist ('popular democratic') roots of the Nazi regime: *Wer ist der wahre Verbrecher, der wahre Urheber des Hitlerismus? Wer hat diese Figur erfunden? Wer hat die Greuelepisode in die Welt gesetzt? Wem verdanken wir die 12 Mio. [sic] toten Juden? Ich kann es euch sehr genau sagen: Hitler hat sich nicht selbst erfunden. Wir verdanken ihn dem echt demokratischen Gehirn, das die mythische Figur des unbekannten Soldaten des Ersten*

Gleichschaltung demanded by the occupying powers. Irrespective of the exact degree to which Schmitt's work can be considered intrinsically 'tainted' in the context of the virulent excesses of National Socialism, the fact remains that his life's work was placed in the same post-war quarantine that befell the life work of other great European thinkers. It ended up in history's cabinet of curiosities, together with that of Italy's Julius Evola, France's Louis-Ferdinand Céline, Romania's Mircea Eliade, Norway's Knut Hamsun and America's Ezra Pound.

But seventy years later it is becoming increasingly evident that the historical-materialist mythology of 'progress' and 'constructability', now raised to the status of standard doctrine (with a socialist variety in the Eastern Bloc and a liberal variety in the Western Bloc), has brought Western civilization to the brink of extinction. After the fall of Eastern Bloc *Realsozialismus*, the entire Western world has fallen prey to what may be termed 'Cultural Nihilism': a poisonous cocktail of neo-liberal 'capitalism for the poor and socialism for the rich' and Cultural-marxism 'identity politics' (the new 'class struggle' of old against young, female against male and black against white). This Cultural Nihilism is characterized by militant secularism (destroying religious cohesion), monetarized social-darwinism (destroying social-economic cohesion), totalitarian matriarchy (destroying family cohesion) and doctrinal oikophobia (destroying ethnic cohesion) and it is practised through the *Macht durch Nivellierung* mechanism of the totalitarian-collectivist *Gleichheitsstaat* (Leisner). The prime carrier of Cultural Nihilism is still the *forever young* 'baby boomer' generation of *rebels without a cause*, but that generation is now replacing itself by a time-less, *shape-shifting* 'hostile elite', feeding off continuous new discoveries of 'repressed minorities' (resentful feminists, ambitious immigrants, psychotic LBTG-activists). The power of this hostile elite resides in two distinct but intricately linked force fields: (1) the globalist institutional machinery (the 'letter institutions' - UN, IMF, WTO, WEF, EU, ECB, NATO) that allows it to overrule state sovereignty and electoral correction and (2) the universalist-humanist discourse of 'human rights', 'democracy' and 'freedom' that allows it to monopolize the 'moral high ground'. This double *trans*-national and *meta*-political power position allows the hostile elite to systematically elude any responsibility for the stupendous damage it is inflicting on Western civilization. The crimes committed by the hostile elite - industrial ecocide (anthropogenic climate change, environmental degradation, diabolical bio-industry), hyper-capitalist exploitation ('free market', 'privatization', 'social return'), social implosion (matriarchy, feminization, transgenderism) and ethnic replacement ('asylum policy', 'labour migration', 'family reunification') - go unpunished within an institutional and ideological framework that operates literally 'above the law'. Only an entirely new legal framework can end the legal immunity enjoyed by the hostile elite. Carl Schmitt's philosophy of law provides that new *frame*: it offers a restoration of the lost link between institutional law and authentic authority and of what is found between these two - actual *justice*. To restore this link, Schmitt uses the concept of 'political theology', i.e. the assumption that all political philosophies are shaped, directly or indirectly, by theological positions that may or may not take on an ostensibly 'secularized' shape. From that perspective, the political imperative of promoting institutional laws aimed at *immanent* justice is derived from *transcendently* - theologically - defined authority.

Weltkriegs ausgeheckt hat. 'Who is the true criminal and the true perpetrator of Hitlerism? Who invented this figure? Who has birthed this monstrous episode of horror? To whom we owe these 12 million [*sic*] dead Jews? I can tell you very exactly: Hitler did not invent himself. We owe hi[s appearance] to the truly democratic brain that concocted the mythical 'unknown soldier' of the First World War.'

The time has come to end the entirely anachronistic and increasingly untenable ‘taboo’ on Carl Schmitt’s work and thought - and to investigate its relevance during the contemporary Crisis of the postmodern West. Robert Steuckers’ *Sur et autour de Carl Schmitt* permits us not only a fascinating visit to a monumental past. It also permits us to find the weapons that are needed in the here and now - it gives us access to the mighty ‘Arsenal of Hephaestus’.⁵ Thus, this chapter is aims at providing more than a book review: it also aims at contributing to the Real Right’s metapolitical deconstruction of the hostile elite’s ideological foundation. It is important to know who the enemy is, what he aims at and how he thinks. Carl Schmitt’s life work provides an ‘anatomical’ dissection of the hostile elite’s legal philosophy - it effectively deprives the hostile elite of any discursive foundation. Robert Steuckers has achieved a brilliant rehabilitation of Schmitt’s work - the Real Right movement of the Low Countries owes him gratitude and congratulations.

*The World of Normativism as Will and Representation*⁶

auctoritas non veritas facit legem

Steuckers commences his extensive overview of the life and work of Carl Schmitt with a reconstruction of the cultural-historical roots of post-war Western legal-philosophical thought. He retraces the historical-materialist reduction - one might say ‘secularization’ - of the Western philosophy of law to the Reformation and the Enlightenment.⁷ The religious wars of the 16th and 17th Centuries resulted in the temporary regression of Western civilization into a ‘state of nature’ which could only be partially compensated for by the ‘emergency measure’ of classical Absolutism during the second half of the 17th and the first half of the 18th Century.⁸ This ‘emergency brake’ Absolutism is characterized by a highly stylized personification of totally sovereign monarchic power as the last protection of Traditionalist community forms against the demonic forces of modernist chaos. After the abolition of the old securities of the sacred and feudal order, the ‘absolutist’ monarchs intervene in order to channel the disruptive dynamics of early mercantile capitalism, the incipient civil rights movement and the escalating tendency to religious decentralization. From a cultural-historical perspective, this ultimate resort to ‘hyper-personalized’ *Auctoritas* can be interpreted as a temporary ‘emergency measure’: *...en cas de normalité, l’autorité peut ne pas jouer, mais en cas d’exception, elle doit décider d’agir, de sévir ou de légiférer.* ‘...in normal circumstances, [such an absolute] authority does not play a role, but in exceptional circumstances, it must act in a decisive, over-ruling and legislating fashion.’ (4) But this absolutist ‘emergency measure’ is only *locally* and *temporarily* effective: the pioneering nations of modernity, such as Great Britain and the Dutch Republic, remain exempt - despite ‘semi-absolutist’ measures such as the Stuart Restoration and the stadtholderate of William III. Even in its heartland, Absolutism reaches its expiry date in less

⁵ In Classical Antiquity (Greek) Hephaestus (Latin: Vulcan) was the smith of the gods and the guardian divinity of smithery: German *Schmitt* is English ‘Smith’.

⁶ A reference to the title (and contents) of the main work of German philosopher Arthur Schopenhauer (1788-1860), *Die Welt als Wille und Vorstellung*.

⁷ *Sunset*, 53ff. and 367ff.

⁸ An important cultural-historical reflection of this regression is found in Thomas Hobbes’ mid-17th Century concept of a universally projected (proto-social-darwinist) *bellum omnium contra omnes*.

than a century - the American and French Revolutions mark the end of Absolutism and the final *Machtergreifung* of the bourgeoisie as the new dominant force in the Western political arena.

The bourgeois-capitalist *Wille zur Macht* is abstractly expressed in a political doctrine that is based on the effective *inversion* of the preceding Traditionalist philosophy of law (i.e. of the clerical-feudal 'political theology'): this new 'Normativism', constructed around bourgeois-capitalist interests, abstractifies and depersonalizes state authority - Thomas Hobbes already describes it as the mythically invisible 'Leviathan' (cf. Zwitzer, *Permafrost*). bstractification is achieved through ideologization and depersonalization is achieved through institutionalization: both processes are directed at the foundation and consolidation of the new bourgeois-capitalist hegemony in the political sphere. Rigid routines and mechanical procedures ('bureaucracy', 'administration', 'legislation') replace the human measure and the personal dimension of Traditionalist power: concrete power is replaced by abstract 'governance'. *L'idéologie républicaine ou bourgeoise a voulu dépersonnaliser les mécanismes de la politique. La norme a avancé, au détriment de l'incarnation du pouvoir.* 'The republican and bourgeois ideology needs to depersonalize the mechanics of politics. It favours *normative* power over *embodied* power.' (4)

For convenience sake, 'Normativism' is here defined in terms of its operational functionality: it is the globalist totalitarian doctrine that stipulates an absolute 'anti-political' norm and combines the praxis of neo-liberal nihilism with that of culture-marxist deconstruction. The first consistent experiment with Normativism as *Realpolitik* ends with the Great Terror of the First French Republic: it illustrates the totalitarian reality that necessarily results from the consistent application of the do-or-die motto that covers the bourgeois-capitalist power project in its formal (republican) as well as its informal (freemasonic) forms: *liberté, égalité, et fraternité ou la mort*, 'liberty, equality and fraternity - or death'. The ethical discrepancy between the utopian ideology and the practical application of this power project is ideologically covered by - and established as a *norm* in - 19th Century *Liberalism*. Liberalism is the political 'default setting' of modernity. The propagandistic surface of Liberalism - its utopia of 'humanism', 'individualism' and 'progress' - covers its deeper substances: the pseudo-scientifically (social-darwinistically) justified economic disenfranchisement ('monetarization', 'free market', 'competition') and social deconstruction ('individual responsibility', 'labour marker participation', 'calculating citizenship') that mathematically result in social implosion (Karl Marx' *Entfremdung* and Emile Durkheim's *anomie*). In the long term, Liberalism results in a 'superstructure' that is based on a very puritanical - and therefore highly resilient - form of Normativism: Liberalism has the highest totalitarian potential of all modernist (historical-materialist) ideologies. Thus, Aleksandr Dugin historical analysis, translated into English as *The Fourth Political Theory*, points to the intrinsic - logically-consistent and existentially-adaptive - superiority of Liberalism. ...[L]e libéralisme-normativisme est néanmoins coercitif, voire plus coercitif que la coercion exercée par une personne mortelle, car il ne tolère justement aucune forme d'indépendance personnalisée à l'égard de la norme, du discours conventionnel, de l'idéologie établie, etc., qui seraient des principes immortels, impassables, appelés à régner en dépit des vicissitudes du réel. '...Still, Liberal Normativism is coercive - it is even much more coercive than the power exerted by any mortal ruler, because it does not tolerate any form of personalized autonomy with regard to its own 'norm' (conventional consensus, standard ideology, political correctness), which is elevated to an eternal and unapproachable principle that is permanently exempt from the vagaries of real life'. (5) From a

sociological perspective, the totalitarian superstructure of Liberal Normativism can be described as ‘hyper-morality’ (Bauch, *Abschied*).

The apparently inviolable foundation of the Liberal Normative monolith in the bedrock of postmodern social psychology raises the question of whether or not it is possible to dislodge by the application of legal philosophy. An affirmative answer to that question depends on breaking through the ‘event horizon’ of Liberal Normative postmodernity, i.e. stepping beyond its epistemological boundary. A break-out from the ‘timeless’ dimension of Liberal Normativism is by means of an ‘Archaeo-Futurist’ formula: the simultaneous mobilization of re-discovered ancient knowledge and newly discovered strength will provide the necessary combination of imagination and willpower.

Through the Glass Ceiling of postmodernity

ΔΩΣ ΜΟΙ ΠΑ ΣΤΩ ΚΑΙ ΤΑΝ ΓΑΝ ΚΙΝΑΣΩ

Dōs moi pa stō kai tan gan kinasō

- Archimedes

One of the most important childhood diseases of the Real Right opposition movement, now rising up against the globalist New World Order throughout the entire Western world, is its inability to correctly assess the nature and power of the hostile elite. The widening (‘populist’) public anger and incipient (‘alt-right’) intellectual criticism that feeds this opposition movement are partially characterized by superficial pragmatism (political opportunism) and emotional regression (extremist conspiracy theories). Both of these phenomena can be understood as the political and ideological reflections of the natural instinct of self-preservation: in a confrontation with direct existential threats, such as the ethnic replacement of the Western peoples and the escalating psychosocial deconstruction of Western civilization, political purity and intellectual integrity simply lack priority. Still, it is of vital importance that the Real Right movement outgrows these childhood diseases as quickly as possible - especially its ‘quick fix’ political islamophobia and its ‘shortcut’ ideological anti-semitism. It should be empathically stated that this does *not* imply any recourse to the kind of ‘preventive self-censorship’ that is now practised by the politically correct journalistic and academic establishment with regard to *legitimate* cultural-historical questions that are embedded within the larger discourses of ‘islamophobia’ and ‘anti-semitism’. The Real Right movement is bound to prioritize *authentic* - not merely legalistic - freedom of expression: it is bound to the position that politically correct (self-)censorship and repressive media policies are counter-productive because they increase public distrust and because they feed political extremism. The obvious ‘pride and prejudice’ of the system press (which stigmatizes every rational cost-benefit analysis of ‘mass-immigration’, ignores the ethnic profiles of ‘grooming gangs’ and re-interprets incidents of islamicist terror) and the governmental policy of ‘shoot the messenger’ with regard to critical media (through ‘fake news’ projections, ‘Russian involvement’ smear campaigns and digital ‘deplatforming’) have caused the public to abandon the journalistic and political ‘mainstream’. The downfall of the traditional (paper and television) media and the splintering of the political landscape merely represent the surface reflections of this development. Now it is up to the Real Right movement to lead the defence of the old freedoms of press and opinion, freedoms that have been now been discarded by the hostile elite as superfluous - and dangerous (cf. Johnson, ‘Freedom of Speech’). The task of defending Western civilization, which has been sold out by the neo-

liberals and betrayed by the cultural-marxists, has now devolved upon the Real Right movement. A correct assessment of the nature and power of the hostile elite is now its highest priority - without it, a winning strategy is impossible. A short-cut identification of the 'enemy' as 'the Islam' or a 'Jewish world conspiracy' simply does not stand up to cold calculus and ruthless realism required from this assessment (cf. Wolfheze, *Alba Rosa*, 235-6). To the extent that nominally 'Islamic' or 'Jewish' elements are operationalized by and within the globalist hostile elite, *Rupes Nigra* will specify these elements as such, viz. as pertaining to *islamicist* pseudo-Islam and *inverted* pseudo-Judaism (for more about *authentic* Islamic and Judaic Tradition, cf. Chapters 1 and Chapter 5, respectively).

The correct identification of the globalist hostile elite requires more than a simple - albeit ethically and existentially correct - reference to its undeniably 'demonic' quality. The absolute evil that results from industrial ecocide, bloodthirsty bio-industry, neo-liberal debt slavery and matriarchal social deconstruction is self-evident - elsewhere, the author has provided a cultural- and psycho-historical analysis of the hostile elite (cf. Wolfheze, *Alba Rosa*, 160-4). But more is needed: it is necessary to achieve a legal understanding and a political strategy that 'frames' the hostile elite in a definitive manner. In this regard, Robert Steuckers' analysis of Carl Schmitt's 'political theology' is of great value: it offers the intellectual tools that are necessary to complete this - possibly greatest - task of the Western Real Right movement.

Liberalism as Totalitarian Nihilism

...le libéralisme est le mal, le mal à l'état pur, le mal essentiel et substantiel...
 '...liberalism is evil, evil in its purest form, evil in essence and substance...' (37)

Steuckers analyzes Liberal Normativism as the 'default ideology' of the hostile elite, i.e. the ideology that ultimately legitimizes its hold on power: *Le libéralisme... monopolise le droit (et le droit de dire le droit) pour lui exclusivement, en le figeant et en n'autorisant plus aucune modification et, simultanément, en le soumettant aux coups dissolvants de l'économie et de l'éthique (elle-même détachée de la religion et livrée à la philosophie laïque) ; exactement comme, en niant et en combattant toutes les autres formes de représentation populaire et de redistribution qui s'effectuait au nom de la caritas, il avait monopolisé à son unique profit les idéaux et pratiques de la liberté et de l'égalité/équité : en opérant cette triple monopolisation, la libéralisme et son instrument, l'Etat dit 'de droit', prétendant à l'universalité. A ses propres yeux, l'Etat libéral représente dorénavant la seule voie possible vers le droit, la liberté et l'égalité : il n'y a donc plus qu'une seule formule politique qui soit encore tolérable, la sienne et la sienne seule.* 'Liberalism monopolizes (1) the law (and the right to legislate) by [permanently] setting its boundaries, by not allowing for any fundamental adaptations and by exposing it to the 'dissolving' effects of [an uncontrolled] economy and [borderless] ethics (ethics that escape any religious framework and are hijacked by 'secular philosophy'). By denying and sabotaging all other forms of (2) [non-party political] representation and (3) [non-monetarized economic] redistribution for the sake of its own exclusive profits, liberalism also monopolizes the [entire] ideal and practical [discourse] of freedom, equality [and] fairness. Through this triple monopoly [and] through its state-enforced 'legal order', liberalism is able to claim [an absolute] universal validity. In its own eyes, the liberal state represents the sole possible [and sole redeeming] way to achieve law, freedom and equality. Thus, only one acceptable political formula remains: liberalism - and liberalism alone.' (38) This is the

background on which neo-liberal globalism is able to project ‘universal’ and ‘absolute’ values such as ‘good governance’ and ‘human rights’. From an Archaeo-Futurist perspective, Liberal Normativism as defined by Steuckers represents the political and ideological ‘infrastructure’ that reflects the higher but intangible cultural- and psycho-historical ‘superstructure’ that was here earlier defined as ‘Cultural Nihilism’, viz. the experiential reality that is pre-conditioned by social-economic *Entfremdung*, psycho-social *anomie*, urban-hedonist stasis and collectively-functional malignant narcissism. Elsewhere, the author has already given an overview of the most important cultural-historical phenomena that coincide in this ‘superstructure’ (*Sunset*, 9-12). The Archaeo-Futurist perspective fits seamlessly into Steuckers’ analysis of the tangible cultural-historical effects of Liberal Normativism. Steuckers explicitly describes Liberal Normativism as ...[le] principe dissolvant et déliquescent au sein de civilisation occidentale et européenne. ...[L]e libéralisme est l’idéologie et la pratique qui affaiblissent les sociétés et dissolvent les valeurs porteuses d’Etat ou d’empire telles l’amour de la patrie, la raison politique, les mœurs traditionnelles et la notion de honneur... ‘...The ‘dissolving’ principle and ‘rot’ in the heart of Western and European civilization. ...Liberalism represents the ideology and practice that most effectively weakens communities and that most effectively dissolves the values on which states and empires are build: love of country, responsible statesmanship, traditional loyalty and authentic honour.’ (36-7)⁹

From a Archaeo-Futurist perspective, the cultural-historical effects of Liberal Normativism are determined by larger meta-historical dynamic, i.e. the downward time spiral that Hindu Tradition interprets as *Kali Yuga* and that the Christian Tradition interprets as ‘Latter-days’. The historical *agency* of Liberal Normativism as a carrier of a contextually functional *Wertblindheit* is explicitly recognized in Steuckers’ prognosis: ...une ‘révolution’ plus diabolique encore que celle de 1789 remplacera forcément, un jour, les vides béants laissés par la déliquescence libérale ‘...[it is] inevitable that, someday, an even more [openly] demonic revolution than that of 1789 will fill the gaping void that has been caused by the liberal rot.’ (37) A first indication of the deeper ‘outer dark’ that still lies hidden beyond the Liberal Normativist facade is found in the recent monster alliance between neo-liberalism and Cultural-marxism in Western politics. In the Dutch political context, this alliance is visible in the program of the governing coalition parties, which combines the ‘disaster capitalist’ agenda of the VVD and the ‘deep nihilist’ agenda of the D66.¹⁰ Steuckers highlights Schmitt’s doubly philosophical and theological interpretation of the regressive cultural-historical tendency of Liberal Normativism. Schmitt draws attention to the consistent Liberal-Normativist support for pre-Indo-European primitivism (Etruscan matriarchy, Pelagianist ‘katagodic’ theology) at the expense of Indo-European civilization (Roman patriarchy, Augustinian ‘anagodic’ theology).¹¹ Traditionalism associates this tendency with a meta-historical movement towards a ‘neo-matriarchy’: this explains the chronological relation between the postmodern hegemony of

⁹ A bio- and psycho-social analysis of the cultural-historical effects of Liberal Normativism may be found in the work of German sociologist Arnold Gehlen (1904-76). His structural opposition between (anagogically directed) *Zucht* and (katagogically directed) *Entartung* allows for the objectively scientific calculus of the Liberal-Normativist process of *de-socialization* (social ‘deconstruction’).

¹⁰ The Dutch VVD (‘People’s Party for Freedom and Democracy’) is the ex-‘classic liberal’ and now utterly corrupt banksterite-globalist party of PM Mark Rutte; the D66 (‘Democrats [19]66’) is the ex-‘progressive liberal’ and now militantly anti-normative (anti-royalist, anti-national, anti-family, anti-religious) party that was until recently led by Alexander Pechtold, who had to resign after a series of scandals in the public and private sphere.

¹¹ A theological reference to an early Christian doctrinal controversy that was originally resolved by the recognition of the doctrine of original sin (Augustine 354-430) and the rejection of its denial by Pelagius (360-418).

Liberal Normativism and typically postmodern symptoms such as feminization, xenophilia and oikophobia.¹² In sociological terms, this phenomenology can be accurately described as befitting the development of a ‘dissociative society’ (Bauch, *Abschied*). The spectre of an absolute nihilist void is already casting ahead its shadow in postmodern discourses such as ‘open borders’ (genocide-on-demand), ‘transgenderism’ (depersonalization-on-demand), ‘reproductive freedom’ (abortion-on-demand) and ‘completed life’ (euthanasia-on-demand) - discourses that are straightforwardly demonic in any authentic Tradition.¹³

Leaving aside the natural interethnic (effectively ‘neo-tribal’) conflicts of contemporary ‘multicultural societies’ (conflicting bio-evolutionary strategies, interracial libido trajectories, post-colonial inferiority complexes), the prime trigger of the existential conflict between indigenous Westerners and non-Western immigrants is found in the increasingly *diabolical* life-world of Liberal-Normativist Western ‘society’. For every traditional Muslim from the Middle East, for every traditional Hindu from South Asia and for every traditional Christian from Africa the Liberal-Normativist ‘open society’ or the postmodern West not only an abstract (theological) evil but also a lived (experiential) horror. Even if the armed terror of the islamicist *jihad* is (a tolerated) part of the offensive ‘divide and rule’ strategy of the hostile elite in *form*, in *substance* it can also be understood as a defensive mechanism against the blasphemous and dehumanizing experience of life under Liberal-Normativist rule. From an Archaeo-Futurist perspective, it could be said that for the Western peoples an Islamic Caliphate would, in fact, represent a (very relatively) ‘better’ alternative to the bestial dehumanization that will logically result from the ‘harrowing of hell’ of fully-implemented Liberal Normativism.

Thus, the greatest enemy of all the Western peoples - in fact, the common enemy of *all* peoples that still live according to authentic Traditions - is politically identified: totalitarian-nihilist *Liberalism*. Liberal Normativism is politically realized through Liberalism: the program of the hostile elite is shaped by Liberalism. In this regard, it is important to note the fact that, since the Second World War, Liberalism has gradually gained the status of ‘standard political discourse’. Liberalism has infiltrated, disfigured and transformed its political rivals, including Christian Democracy (the Dutch CDA and CU, which have joined the liberal governing coalition without the slightest compunction), Social Democracy (the Dutch PVDA and SP, which have been marginalized through decades of compromise) and Civil Nationalism (the Dutch PVV and FVD, which have failed to formulate a viable alternative vision of society). This process has advanced to point of eradicating any trace of authentic democratic-parliamentarian opposition in key areas such as economic and social policy. Steuckers views this process of ‘politicide’ as a function of Liberalism’s intrinsic power of ‘ideological sterilization’.¹⁴ Even outside of the core party cartels (in the Netherlands these are represented by standard ‘governing parties’ of VVD, D66, CDA, CU and PVDA) Liberalism has become a political *habitus*¹⁵ - all other parties automatically (largely unintentionally) take on the role of ‘controlled opposition’. The

¹² For a cultural-historical development of neo-matriarchy, cf. *Alba Rosa*, 168ff; for a descriptive insight into the experiential reality of neo-matriarchy, cf. Eordred, ‘Against Escapism’.

¹³ The spectre of the ultimate totalitarian state, i.e. a life-world in which the *entire* social and individual sphere is controlled by the state, already provided the central theme of early and mid-20th Century dystopian literary classics such as Jevgeny Zamjatin’s *My* (1924), Aldous Huxley’s *Brave New World* (1932) and George Orwell’s *Nineteen Eighty-Four* (1949).

¹⁴ More precisely, ‘politicide’ involves the destruction of political plurality through a monolithic politically correct party-cartel and the introduction of dogmatic political-correctness as ‘public consensus’, i.e. the implementation of Orwell’s ‘1984’ dystopian vision *as a program*.

result is ‘mainstream politics’ (in the Netherlands it is explicitly referred as the all-levelling ‘polder model’), now dominating the entire West since the 1980’s rise of ‘Neo-Liberalism’: the rise to power of Margaret Thatcher in Britain, Ronald Reagan in America and Ruud Lubbers in the Netherlands.

Liberalism as Politicide

*A ‘democratically elected’ parliament can never be the place for authentic debate:
it is always the place where collectivist absolutism issues its decrees.*

- Nicolás Gómez Dávila

The formation of Liberalist-led party cartels and Liberalist-guided consensus politics is largely due to the simple practice of *parliamentarism*: the parliamentary technique of the hyper-democratically dumbed-down and hyper-regulated unrealistic ‘debate’ reduces all ‘opinions’ and ‘viewpoints’ to their lowest common denominator, which is always found in grossly materialist and totally amoral Liberalism. The all-levelling debate replaces quality with quantity (‘democracy’), thought with feeling (‘humanism’), concrete justice with abstract governance (regulation, bureaucracy, protocol) and collective future planning with individual impulse gratification. ‘Purchasing power’ always outweighs generational legacy, ‘lifestyle’ always prevails over ecological sustainability and ‘relationship experiments’ always have priority over family life. Parliamentarism is nothing but the political and institutional reflection of the collectivist levelling sentiment that underpins bourgeois Liberalism: it represents the *reductio ad absurdum* of politics - politics as *talk show entertainment*. *[L]’essence du parlementarisme, c’est le débat, la discussion et la publicité. Ce parlementarisme peut s’avérer valable dans les aréopages d’hommes rationnels et lucides, mais plus quand s’y affrontent des partis à idéologies rigides qui prétendent tous détenir la vérité ultime. Le débat n’est alors plus loyal, la finalité des protagonistes n’est plus de découvrir par la discussion, par la confrontation d’opinions et d’expériences diverses, un ‘bien commun’. C’est cela la crise du parlementarisme. La rationalité du système parlementaire est mise en échec par l’irrationalité fondamentale des parties.* ‘The essence of parliamentarism is found in debate, discussion and publicity. Such parliamentarism may prove itself an asset in an Aeropagus [assembly]¹⁶ of rational and clear-minded gentlemen, but this is no longer the case when rigidly ideological parties are confronting each other with claims of possessing the ultimate truth. The latter debate is no longer *loyal*: the aim of its participants is no longer the discovery of the ‘higher cause’ through a discussion and an exchange of opinions and experiences. Herein lies [the cause of] the crisis of [contemporary] parliamentarism. The rationality of the [present] parliamentary system fails due to the fundamental irrationality of the parties.’ (18-9)

It is inevitable that this self-reinforcing crisis is increasingly fed by groups that were previously ‘invisible’ in the political landscape. The escalating process of political levelling is fed by the individual ambitions and resentments of the self-appointed ‘representatives’ of supposedly ‘discriminated’ groups. Seek and you shall find: there are always more ‘under-privileged’ groups (to be invented): young people, old people, women, immigrants, homosexuals, transgenders. Totalitarian nihilist Liberalism is the deepest (maximally ‘deconstructed’,

¹⁵ A sociological concept covering social-psychological conditioning (*hexis, mimesis*) developed by French anthropologist Pierre Bourdieu (1930-2002).

¹⁶ A reference to the hill near the Acropolis where the Athenian Senate met during Classical Antiquity.

maximally 'desubstantivized' political sediment - and sentiment - that results from this implosive process: it is the political 'zero position' that remains after the all-levelling 'debate', i.e. after the neutralization of all attempts at political idealism, political intelligence and political willpower.

Liberalism realizes the political (parliamentarist, partitocratic) dialectics of the Liberal-Normativist ideology. In Schmitt's view, the dialectically vicious circle that results from this ideology can only be broken by a fundamental restoration of political authority. Steuckers states this as follows: *Dans [cette idéologie], aucun ennemi n'existe : évoquer son éventuelle existence relève d'une mentalité paranoïaque ou obsidionale (assimilée à un 'fascisme' irréel et fantasmagorique) - ...il n'y a que des partenaires de discussion. Avec qui on organisera des débats, suite auxquels on trouvera inmanquablement une solution. Mais si ce partenaire, toujours idéal, venait un jour à refuser tout débat, cessant du même coup d'être idéal. Le choc est alors inévitable. L'élite dominante, constituée de disciples conscients ou inconscients de [cette] idéologie naïve et puérile..., se retrouve sans réponse au défi, comme l'eurocratisme néolibéral ou social-libéral aujourd'hui face à l'[islamisme politique]... De telles élites n'ont plus leur place au-devant de la scène. Elles doivent être remplacées.* 'In [this ideology] a [real] enemy cannot be conceived of: even to suggest the possible existence of such an [enemy] is 'proof' of the paranoid or obsessive mentality (always associated with an unreal and imaginary 'fascism') - ...there are only 'debating partners'. With [such partners] debates are organized and these debates always end in a solution. But if, one day, this partner - always thought of in abstract terms of rational perfection - would actually refuse the debate, then the ideal ['discussion' model] would immediately fail. An [existential] shock would be inevitable. The ruling elite, which is [entirely] made up of conscious and unconscious adherents to [this utterly] naive and infantile ideology..., would have no answer to this challenge - in the same manner that neoliberal and social-democrat eurocrats [have no answer] to [political islamism]... Such elites do not deserve a place on the [political] stage - they have to be replaced.' (245)

Liberalism as Anti-Law and Anti-State

*A Marxist system can be recognized by its protection of criminals
and its criminalization of opponents.
- Alexander Solzhenitsyn*

Sometime during the aftermath of the *Machtergreifung* of the *soixante-huitards* the hostile elite has taken the strategic decision to replace the indigenous peoples of the West.¹⁷ *Its underlying logic is as clear as it is ruthless. The European peoples have proven to be historically incompatible with Modernity, as it is defined by Culture Nihilism: this is why they have to be mixed with and replaced by more malleable - less intellectual, less demanding, less self-conscious - slave peoples. The European peoples are demographically infertile under*

¹⁷ A proto-type strategy of ethnic replacement is found in the political writings of one of the ideological founders of the trans-national project 'European Union', Richard Count von Coudenhove-Kalergi (1894-1972). The possible existence of an anti-European ethnocidal 'Kalergi Plan' to implement his vision is the subject of a controversial conspiracy theory, but that vision itself is as clear as it needs to be: *The man of the future will be of mixed race. Today's races and classes will gradually disappear owing to the vanishing of space, time, and prejudice. The Eurasian-Negroid race of the future, similar in its appearance to the Ancient Egyptians, will replace the diversity of peoples with a diversity of individuals* (translated from Coudenhove-Kalergi, *Praktischer Idealismus*, 22-3).

totalitarian dictatorship, they are economically unproductive in urban-hedonist stasis and they are politically unreliable in debt slavery (Wolfheze, Alba Rosa, 47). But the ethnic replacement of the Western peoples is a project with considerable risks: even the most optimally calibrated *Umvolkung* recipe and the most carefully calculated dosage of its various ingredients (mass immigration, ethnically selective natalist policy, affirmative action, native economic deprivation) demand a political balancing act of unparalleled refinement. To achieve the political ‘point of no return’ (the demographically-democratically checkmate of the Western peoples) the hostile elite runs the risk that its amputation-transplantation operation will fail when the double psychological and spiritual anaesthesia fails, causing the patient to awake on the operating table. Until that point is reached, the expiry date of the hostile elite depends on two main anaesthetic medicines: (1) the hedonist-consumerist defined level of ‘wealth’ and ‘wellness’ and (2) the educative-journalistic manipulated politically correct consensus. If one of these two elements fall under a certain critical measure (a measure that is gradually revised downward), the danger of the patient awakening increases exponentially. Thus, a certain minimum remnant (constantly revised downward as well) of the welfare state, labour legislation, political pluriformity and freedom of opinion must be maintained until the process of ethnic replacement has been completed. The neoliberal-globalist ideals of entirely ‘open borders’, of an entirely amoral ‘open society’ and a total social-economic *bellum omnium contra omnes* can only be fully realized after the ethnic replacement project has reduced the native Western population to the status of ‘endangered species’, confined to marginal ‘reservations’. Until that time, the transition process creates a legal predicament for the hostile elite: it has to carefully manage the maximum speed with which Western state institutions and laws can be demolished and replaced with Liberal *anti-state institutions* and *anti-laws*. If this demolition and replacement take place too quickly, the Liberal anti-state risks an uncontrollable backlash: an early overdose of chaos and injustice in the public sphere risks a premature alienation and collective countermovement among the native Western populace.

The increasingly grotesque side-effects of the Liberal demolition of state institutions and legal safeguards are particularly problematic in case of those privileges that are the exclusive preserve of the ‘immigrants’ (‘affirmative action’, ‘preferential treatment’, ‘housing priorities’, ‘targeted subsidies’) and of those sanctions that are explicitly aimed at the natives (student loans, commercial credit and administrative fines for natives vs. scholarships, grants and prosecution dismissal for ‘immigrants’). The contrast between the bureaucratic hurdles, fiscal pressure, labour market congestion and housing shortages faced by the native population (particularly its unfortunates: the homeless, the infirm, the poor) and the red carpet treatment (free legal assistance, free shelter and free money followed by priority housing, start-up facilities and full access to social support) provided to foreign colonists (including masses of fraudsters, thieves and rapists) is becoming more grotesque every year. As the immigrant population explodes due to ‘managed migration’ (‘Marrakesh’), ‘family reunification’ (‘human rights’) and ‘child allowances’ (‘legal equality’) - always at the expense by the native population - the hostile elite risks pushing the native population into electoral resistance (‘populist parties’) and civil disobedience (*gilets jaunes*) too soon and too far. The hostile elite is attempting to abolish the historical gains of 150 years of Western civilization - legal recourse, labour law, social security, educational opportunity, universal healthcare, administrative integrity, responsible governance - in the space of no more than two generations. Here, the generational divide (essentially the divide between baby-boomer and post-baby-boomer) is essential because it is vitally important to ‘clean’ the collective memory of the Western populace: to make sure

that inconvenient concepts such as ‘educational standards’, ‘living wage’, ‘income security’, ‘old age insurance’ and ‘justice for all’ are eradicated as quickly as possible. The hostile elite is close to achieving this aim, even if it is not fully ‘in the clear’ yet.

The Liberal anti-state and anti-law of the hostile elite has already basically reduced its hardworking, conscientious and naive indigenous subjects to ‘milk cows’ and ‘slaughter cattle’ to be exploited on behalf of a rapidly increasing mass of ruthless, unproductive, fraudulent and criminal ‘immigrants’. The sickening burden of this colonizing immigration is particularly crushing for the most vulnerable indigenous groups: day labourers, small entrepreneurs, pensioners, the physically and mentally handicapped and single-parent families. The hostile elite is silencing their feeble protests against demographic inundation and social-economic marginalization with mind-twisting and utterly cynical one-liners such ‘multicultural enrichment’ and ‘humanitarian duty’, ‘market forces’ and ‘private responsibility’. In the Dutch context, their situation is best symbolized by a caricature picture that is now frequently becoming reality: the humble indigenous bicyclist who is stopped in the pouring rain by the traffic police to be fined for a defect light, when a few yards away an ‘immigrant’ drugs lord is speeding through the red light in his sports car on the way to launder his ill-gotten riches in the ‘convenient store’ of his family clan.

But worse is yet to come - and many are starting to experience this ‘in the flesh’. Worse is the experience of indigenous girls and women: with the clients of their ‘lover boys’¹⁸ during their school years, with their ‘rapefugee’ stalkers during their college years and with their ‘#metoo’ *affirmative action* ‘bosses’ during their working lives. And the worst is hidden still: the murderous decolonization (Lari 1953, Algiers 1956, Stanleyville 1964, Kolwezi 1978, Air Rhodesia Flight 827 1979) and the postcolonial atavism (Macías Nguema in Equatorial Guinea 1968-79, Muammar Kaddafi in Libya 1969-2011, Idi Amin in Uganda 1971-79, Pol Pot in Cambodia 1976-79, Saddam Hussein in Iraq 1979-2003) of the Third World bode ill for the future of the remnant native population of the West once it is fully colonized by primitive Africans and resentful Asians. Perversion is already the becoming the standard modality of Western bureaucracies and judiciaries as the indigenous Western peoples are abandoned and left to face terrorism, criminality and persecution without effective recourse. They are left with a toothless police that is caught up in red tape, a matriarchal anti-judiciary that is protecting criminals against victims, a silent media cartel that is hiding the ‘colour of crime’ (Taylor, *The Color of Crime*) and a perverted political system that prioritizes ‘public perception’ over public responsibility. These collective experiences, however, are now fast accumulating into a critical mass that threatens the whole ethnic replacement: they are, in fact, creating space for an effective collective challenge to the hostile elite. The moral legitimacy of the native opposition is giving it the status of an ‘Authority in the Making’, empowering it to tear up the seemingly inescapable but wholly fraudulent ‘IOU from history’ that the hostile elite is foisting on the Western peoples. The traffic light of history is flashing yellow for Liberalism. The *Gilets Jaunes* have already shown the Liberal hostile elite the ‘yellow card of history’: it is now up to the Western peoples to write out its red card - and to transfer it from the political stage to the penalty box of history.

¹⁸ In the Netherlands, ‘lover boy’ is a politically correct euphemism that describes the same ‘grooming gang’ phenomenon that is terrorizing Great Britain.

The Real Right Opposition as ‘Authority in the Making’¹⁹

*And that, knowing the time, that now it is high time to awake out of sleep:
for now is our salvation nearer than when we believed.
The night is far spent, the day is at hand:
let us therefore cast off the works of darkness,
and let us put on the armour of light.
- Romans 13:11-12*

The basis of a successful campaign of Liberal Normativism as an ideological model and of Liberalism as a political force is the realization that both are the *mortal enemies* of Western civilization. For the Western peoples, the annihilation of Liberalism as a political force is an absolute precondition for a successful *reconquista* of state sovereignty and ethnic identity. In this case, the *absolute right* of survival coincides with the ethical imperative of opposition and resistance. This ethical imperative applies to all nations with ‘their back against the wall’, as formulated by Marek Edelman, the last leader of the *Zydowska Organizacja Bojowa*: *We knew perfectly well that we had no chance of winning. We fought simply not to allow the Germans alone to pick the time and place of our deaths. We knew we were going to die.*²⁰

In this regard, the Western Real Right movement would be well advised to take to heart what Steuckers has to say about the illusion of ‘dialogue’ with the hostile elite. Reasonability and dialogue end - have to end - when one is faced with an existential threat: *...l’ennemi n’est pas bon car il veut ma destruction totale, mon éradication de la surface de la Terre: au mal qu’il représente pour moi, je ne peux, en aucun cas et sous peine de périr, opposer des expressions juridiques ou morales procédant d’une anthropologie optimiste. Je dois être capable de riposter avec la même vigueur. La distinction ami/ennemi apporte donc clarté et honnêteté à tout discours sur le politique. ‘...the enemy simply cannot be good, because he seeks my total destruction [and] my eradication from the face of the Earth: I cannot, when faced with the [absolute] evil that he represents to me, apply the legal and moral prescriptions of [a misguided] anthropological optimism - if I do so, I will become extinct. I must be able to retaliate with equal vigour. Thus, the distinction between friend [and] enemy provides the political discourse with clarity and honesty.’ (51)*

The hostile elite, which speaks through Liberal Normativism and which acts through Liberalism, has declared war on the Western peoples and on Western civilization: the Western peoples are simply left with no other choice than to fight for their lives and to appoint a newly-legitimate ‘authority in the making’. The weapons with which the Western Real Right opposition can deal the intellectual deathblow to the hostile elite can be found in the arsenal of Carl Schmitt - Robert Steuckers’ *Sur et autour* provides the key to this arsenal. One of the

¹⁹ A reference to Carl Schmitt’s legal philosophical analysis of the partisan as ‘authority in the making’ in the context of the popular insurrections led by Mao Tse-Tung in China, Vo Nguyen Giap in Vietnam and Ernesto ‘Che’ Guevara in Congo. Cf. Johnson, ‘The Political Soldier’ in: Johnson, *NANR* 2.

²⁰ On 8 May 1943, Marek Edelman succeeded to the highest command position after the suicide of Mordechai Anielewicz in the bunker of 18 Mila Street. The author had the privilege of speaking to several eye-witnesses of the Warsaw Ghetto Uprising – he lived near Edelman in the Polish city of Lodz (Edelman was anti-zionist, fought for Poland during the Warsaw Uprising of 1944 and thereafter lived in Lodz till his death in 2009).

weapons to be found there is Schmitt's philosophical validation of the restoration of authentic *Auctoritas*.

Decisionism as State Theory

*In Gefahr und grosser Noth
Bringt der Mittel-Weg den Tod*
'In danger and distress
The middle way leads to death'
- Friedrich von Logau

The weakness of the hostile elite's pseudo-philosophy of law is ruthlessly exposed in Steuckers' analysis of Schmitt's basic notions of the inevitably *concrete* and *personal* dimension of all authentic forms of legitimate law and power. The concrete and personal dimensions of law and power are best illustrated in its unavoidable incarnation in the person of the *judge*: the person of the judge bridges the gap between abstract and historically determined law (legal code, jurisprudence) and the concrete and contemporary reality (event, circumstance). *La pratique quotidienne des palais de justice, pratique inévitable, incontournable, contredit l'idéal libéral-normativiste qui rêve que le droit, la norme, s'incarneront tous seuls, sans intermédiaire de chair et de sang. En imaginant, dans l'absolu, que l'on puisse faire l'économie de la personne du juge, on introduit une fiction dans le fonctionnement de la justice, fiction qui croit que sans la subjectivité inévitable du juge, on obtiendra un meilleur droit, plus juste, plus objectif, plus sûr. Mais c'est là une impossibilité pratique.* 'The daily, inevitable and undeniable practice of due legal process contradicts the Liberal-Normativist illusion that laws and norms can [somehow] be realized without a flesh-and-blood intermediary. By imagining an 'absolute law' that eliminates the person of the judge, it introduced a legal fiction: a fiction that proposes a better, more just and more objective law without the inevitable subjective [mediation of the] judge. But, [of course,] no such thing is possible in practice.' (5-6) No legal *verdict* can be conceived of without the physical presence of a *Vermittler*, i.e. a man of flesh and blood who is - consciously or unconsciously - shaped by values and sentiments. Thus, no legal *order* can be conceived of without the imprint of the specific (historically and contextually experienced) charisma of the judge. In the postmodern context, this charisma will tend to be of a collectivist-tainted, resentment-fed and downward-directed *negative* nature. *Parce qu'il y a inévitablement une césure entre la norme et le cas concret, il faut l'intercession d'une personne qui soit une autorité. La loi [et] la norme ne peu[vent] pas s'incarner toute[s] seule[s].* 'Because there will always be a gap between the [abstract] norm and the concrete [case], mediation by personalized authority is a necessity. [Thus,] the law [and] the norm can never incarnate themselves.' (6) The same concrete and personalized dimension apply with regard to political power: the entirely abstract, institutionalized and bureaucratized form of political power that is wished for, believed in and aimed at my Liberal Normativism is simply *impossible*. Thus, the inevitable and indispensable *incarnation* of political authority remains ...*le démenti le plus flagrant à cet indécrotable espoir libéralo-progressisto-normativiste de voir advenir un droit, une norme, une loi, une constitution, dans le réel, par la seule force de sa qualité juridique, philosophique, idéale, etc.* '...the most definitive argument against the incorrigible liberal-progressivist-normativist hope that it will be possible, one day, to achieve a real-world law, norm [and] order that is solely based on judicial, philosophical and idealist quality.' (6)

Under the aegis of totalitarian Liberal Normativism, however, postmodern West politics has no longer any space for rational debate and superior argumentation: only ‘might is right’. *L’idéologie républicaine ou bourgeoise a voulu dépersonnaliser les mécanismes de la politique. La norme a avancé, au détriment de l’incarnation du pouvoir.* ‘The republican and bourgeois ideology is aimed at the depersonalization of the mechanics of politics. It favours *normative* power at the expense of *personalized* power.’ (4) The contemporary power of Liberal Normativism is psychosocially anchored in an anti-rational matriarchal conditioning that abolishes all personalized forms of authentic authority in a hyper-collectivist *règne de la quantité* (Guénon). Dramatic illustrations of this increasingly oppressive matriarchal reality can be found in the Western European ‘ground zero’ of postmodernity: in the ex-nation states of ‘Anti-Frankrijk’ en ‘Anti-Germany’ the policies of anti-tradition, anti-nationalism and anti-masculine are now metastasizing into openly sadomasochistic projects of self-mutilating and suicidal *Umwolkung à l’outrance*. In this context, every form of *collectivist* resistance (parliamentary ‘opposition’ and extra-parliamentary ‘activism’) against the idiocratic and absurdist excesses of Liberal Normativism is doomed to failure because it will limit itself to pragmatic ‘symptom management’. By limiting themselves to the matriarchal-collectivist (doubly politically-institutional and psycho-social) ‘frame’ of Liberal Normativism, such parliamentary opposition (the *AfD* in Germany, the *FvD* in the Netherlands) and such extra-parliamentary activism (the *Reichsbürger* movement in Germany, the *Gilets Jaunes* movement in France²¹) are effectively reduced to ‘lightning conductors’. There exists only one true remedy for the matriarchal-collectivist ‘anti-authority’ of Liberal Normativism: patriarchal-personalized authority as defined in Traditionalist - and from there: Archaeo-Futurist - *Decisionism*.²²

The Decisionist approach to law and politics is always *concrete*, and therefore also *physical* and *personal*. In legal-philosophical terms, it is primarily concerned with the physical protection of the concrete (geographically and biologically bounded) realities of state and ethnicity. In Decisionism, earthly realities always take priority over abstract norms: *ist erdhaft und auf Erde bezogen* [the law is earth-bound and refers to earthly reality]. In metapolitical terms, it proceeds from the recognized necessity of personalized authority in order to meet physical calamities as well as overdoses of ‘normative’ power. It sanctions personalized authority for the effective management of existential threats against the state and the people: *Ausnahmezustand, Ernstfall, Grenzfall*. This *highest command authority* is based on the (temporary) *suspension* (in fact: correction) of (normative) law through its (temporary) *personification*: this emergency measure is applied whenever the legal order, the power of the state or the survival of the nation are undermined or shaken. ...[E]n cas de normalité, [cet] autorité peut ne pas jouer, mais en cas d’exception, elle doit décider d’agir, de sévir ou de légiférer. ‘...Under normal circumstances, this authority stands outside daily life, but in case of emergency it is obliged to act, to rule and to legislate [directly].’ (4) This ‘emergency power’

²¹ References to, respectively, the German civil rights movement that denies the sovereignty of the Federal Republic of Germany and the French civil rights movement that demanded the resignation of President Macron.

²² ‘Decisionism’ is here defined as the Traditionalist doctrine of directly-concrete and physically-embodied command authority, as opposed to the globalist doctrine of indirectly-abstract and psychologically-manipulative Normativism. It should be noted that there is a difference between the flexible and pragmatic interpretation of Decisionism of Traditionalist metapolitics and the *quiritary* - inflexibly legalistic - interpretation of Decisionism historically reflected in the totalitarian practices of fascism and nazism.

kicks in case of existential threats from without (natural disaster, enemy invasion) and from within (rebellion, treason). In Traditional societies, this personalized authority is permanently (institutionally) available in the 'reserve functionality' of *sacred office*. In pre-modern Western societies, this reserve functionality is institutionally represented in the Monarchy, regulated either through election or succession. The sacred nature of the highest command authority is derived from the *transcendental* (and therefore *anagogical*) concept of state and nation that prevailed in all pre-modern societies. Carl Schmitt's philosophy of law - inspired by Cortés' Traditionalist-Catholic state theory²³ - retains this sacred element in its transcendental definition of a holistically conceived *unity* of state, nation and society. This unit, as qualified through the ancient notions of *Unitas Ordinis*, *Societas Civilis* and *Corpus Mysticum*, is taken to represent a creation that is naturally organic as well as divinely ordained - as such it can never be wholly encompassed by any political institution. The man that fate has called upon to defend the life of this mysterious 'creature' is held to be imbued with a sacred vocation of the highest order.

Thus, from a Traditionalist perspective, the state-nation-society agglomerate constitutes a *living organism* and a *historical community* with a *mystical destiny* that constitutes a political *a priori*: politics should be shaped around its needs and interests and politics serves it. ...[L]a peuple... n'est pas chose formée (par une volonté humaine et arbitraire) mais fait empirique et n'est jamais 'formable' complètement; il restera toujours de lui un résidu rétif à tout formatage, un reste qui échappera à la volonté de contrôle des instances dérivées de certaines 'Lumières'... [L]a souveraineté populaire ne peut être entièrement représentée (par des députés) car alors une part plus ou moins importante de sa présence concrète est houspillée hors des institutions de représentation, lesquelles ne représent[e]nt plus que les intérêts ou des réalités fragmentaires. '...The people... is not a 'construct' (to be made and unmade according to human will), but rather an empirical given fact that can never be entirely 'malleable' [in a political sense]: it always retains an indivisible residue that resists [all attempts at] 'construction' - a residue that remains intangible in terms of the kind of institutional control that derives from 'Enlightenment' [thought]... National sovereignty [and electoral mandates] can never be entirely representative through 'representation', because a [certain] - larger or smaller - part of the concrete presence [of the nation] will always be excluded from institutional representation, [because such a representation] will be inevitably focussed on fragmentary interest and realities.' (33) The Traditionalist definition of the state-nation-society agglomerate is found in the vision of ... la 'nation unie', non mutilée par des dissensions partisans, donc une nation tournant ses forces vives vers l'extérieur, et non pas vers sa seule sphère interne en y semant la discorde et en y désignant des ennemis, provoquant à terme rapide l'inéluctable implosion du tout. La Nation comme l'Eglise doit être un *coincidentia oppositorum* : elle doit faire coïncider et s'harmoniser toutes les forces et différences qui l'irriguent, en évitant les *modi operandi* politiques qui sèment les dissensus et ruinent la continuité étatique... '...the 'unified nation', undivided by partisan strife - a nation that directs its vital force outwards, and not merely inwards, where [that force] will create frictions and factions, results in inevitable and early total implosion. As in the case of the Church, the Nation is called upon to constitute a *coincidentia oppositorum*: it must focus all [its] powers and harmonize the differences that feed its growth. It must avoid all politicized *modi operandi* - [factional divides and party-political narrow-

²³ A reference to the Spanish political philosopher Marquis Donoso Cortés (1809-53).

mindedness] - that would cause [societal] friction and that would endanger the continuity of its state [sovereignty]...' (38)

From this follows the double theological and legal imperative of a trans-democratic and trans-secular state authority which is simultaneously open in a downward (earthly) and upward (heavenly) direction and which guarantees the historical continuity of the nation(s) that it represents. A built-in permanent Decisionist 'reserve option' - a (temporal) 'dictatorial' command structure to deal with the *Ernstfall* - is an indispensable part of this state authority. Within the Traditionalist philosophy of law of the Christian world this reserve option is always 'framed' - and limited - by the higher transcendental principle of *Caritas*, which is explicitly expressed in the key principles of Catholic politics: Community, Solidarity and Subsidiarity. *Caritas*: the 'anthropologically pessimistic' Christian ethical imperative and pious practice of magnanimity with all creatures that need protection and assistance. First and foremost these are those people that are vulnerable, incapacitated or weak-minded - children, women, the poor, the sick, the handicapped and the dying. But these are also the animals and plants that cannot speak up for themselves and that are subject to man's dominion. *Noblesse oblige*. In the Traditionalist philosophy of law of the Christian world the Monarchy was the highest natural and legitimate carrier of Decisionistically defined *Auctoritas*: *...les familles royales, qui incarnent charnellement les Etats dans l'Ancien régime, offrent de successions de monarques, différents sur le plan du caractère et de la formation, permettant une plus grande souplesse que les régimes normatifs et normateurs. Elles permettent la continuité dans l'adaptation et le changement, apportés par les héritiers de la lignée. En ce sens, les monarchies constituent des contrepoids contre le déploiement purement technique de la raison normative, qui fait basculer les Etats dans l'abstraction et apportent, in fine, la dictature.* '...royal families - which are made to literally *embody* the state during the [Absolutist] *ancien régime* - offer a [continuous] succession of [ever new generations of] monarchs differ in character, upbringing and education: they offer a ['built-in' and] much greater flexibility than 'normative',... [democratically liberal] regimes. In this sense, monarchies offer a counterbalance against the purely 'technocratic' rule of normative 'reason' that reduces states to legal abstractions and, eventually, to [normative] dictatorships.' (36) In a Monarchy the principle of Subsidiarity postulates an additional and derivative role for other 'privileged' institutions as well: the Clergy and the Nobility are called upon to carry many responsibilities - they are burdened with a secondary Decisionist *Pflicht zur Tat*. All of these Traditional institutions were assumed to take on a number of natural and legitimate obligations on the basis of an existential quality that is simply unimaginable under the aegis of Liberal-Normativist modernity - a quality that can best be grasped in a number of concepts of more 'aristocratically minded' languages: *solemnidad, gravedad, Haltung, Würde*. In this regard, Steuckers points to the 'Roman Form' that is essential to this existential orientation - an orientation that was largely eliminated from the originally Roman-Catholic Church during the 20th Century *aggiornamento* that is now associated with Second Vatican Council (1962-65). This is one of the fundamental problems of the Archaeo-Futurist 'Catholic Question': it was already explicitly recognized by Evola and the erasure of the Roman Form in Catholicism continues to be one of the chief handicaps to any potential Western Renaissance (Leonard, 'The Problem of Christianity'). The Roman Form depends on a vision of *...l'homme... comme un être combattant, un être sans cesse préoccupé de limiter le chaos naturel des choses, de donner forme au réel, de maintenir les continuités constructives léguées par l'histoire...* 'man... as a warrior creature, a creature that is waging an incessant struggle against the chaotic

state of the natural [world and that is called upon] to give structure to the reality [around himself and] to maintain the constructive continuities that he has inherited from history...' (41)

This Roman Form is deconstructed in the utterly false 'anthropological optimism' of Liberal Normativism, which sets 'self-made' - cosmologically 'autonomous', sinless 'free', morally 'self-determining' - 'modern man' aside from Divine Creation, the Divine Order and Divine Providence. Liberal Normativism does not offer - *cannot* offer - any alternative for the Roman Form that it has 'deconstructed': Liberal Normativism is an exclusively *negative* ideology that can only thrive on denial, deconstruction and destruction. In political terms, it represents the abdication of *Fortitudo* and its replacement with administrative chaos and legal impunity. In economic terms, it represents the abdication of *Temperantia* and its replacement with greedy materialism and unbridled consumerism. In social terms, it represents the abdication of *Castitas* and its replacement with public feminization and private immorality. In psychological terms, it represents the abdication of *Humilitas* and its replacement with megalomania and narcissism. Thus, in the sense of Carl Schmitt's *politische Theologie*, Liberal-Normativism can be interpreted as the political application of theological *antinomianism*.

The Antinomianist Project of the Hostile Elite

Errare humanum est, perservare est diabolicum

Liberal-Normativism is entirely incompatible with any form of positive (eudaemonic, anagogic) - let alone Traditionalist (holistic, Decisionistic) - philosophy of law or concept of state. Its antinomianism - its pretence to be exempt from Divine Order and the Divine Law - places it outside and under and transcendently inspired form of philosophy and statecraft. In the words of Robert Steuckers: *Le normativisme se place en dehors de tout continuum historique puisque la norme, une fois instaurée, est jugée tout à la fois comme un aboutissement final et comme indépassable et, en théorie, le normativisme exclut toute dérogation au fonctionnement posé une fois pour toutes comme 'normal', même en cas d'extrême danger pour les choses publiques*. 'Normativism places itself outside all forms of historical continuity because, as soon as it is installed, its norm achieves the status of necessary and unsurpassable finality. Strictly speaking, normativism excludes any kind of exemption from the once-and-for-always established 'normal' functionality [of state power], even if the greater good is threatened in an unprecedented manner.' (35) The epistemological and ontological 'steel cage' of Liberal Normativism closes with mathematical precision - in its doctrinal perfection, it wholly excludes all corrective possibilities. In this regard, Steuckers designates the legalism of Liberal Normativism as the ultimate *arcanum* of Western postmodernity. This pharisaic legalism guarantees the (mentally preventive) 'deconstruction' of all authentic visions of a *societas perfecta*. It literally rules out the Decisionist (pragmatic, flexible, temporary) *Auctoritas* that is built into every Traditionalist concept of state power and philosophy of law.

In the chapter *La décision dans l'oeuvre de Carl Schmitt*, 'The Decision in the Work of Carl Schmitt', Steuckers provides a precise analysis of Schmitt's intellectual *Werdegang*. He points to the remarkable parallelism between Schmitt's intellectual development and the 20th Century development of the Liberal-Normativist epistemological-ontological 'steel cage'. The three phases that Steuckers distinguishes in Schmitt's work and life can be interpreted as three phases in the development of the antinomian project of the hostile elite, i.e. three phases in the

construction of the Liberal-Normativist totalitarian dictatorship that is nearing completion under the aegis of Western postmodernity. Steuckers names each of these three phases after the historical function of the ‘decision-maker’ - the symbolic personification of highest command power - during the phase in question. In the framework of this chapter, which aims at a ‘short anatomy of the ideology of the hostile elite’, it is useful to briefly review each of these three ‘decision makers’ according to an improvised - artificial but investigative - ‘timetable’.

(1) The phase of the *Beschleuniger*, the ‘Accelerator’, which covers the forty years between two symbolically important years in Western history, viz. 1905, marking the first military-political victory of a non-Western over a Western great power (the Russo-Japanese War) and the ‘constitutionalization’ of the last Traditional Western autocracy (First Russian Revolution), and 1945, marking the final military-political victory by late-modern trans-nationalism (*Grossraum*, American and Soviet superpower) over the classic-modern nation-state (*Lebensraum*, Axis powers).²⁴ This phase is characterized by an ‘engineering ideology’ that allows for a technical *acceleration of power*, in the sense of a chronological break-through as well as a spatial break-out. Here, ‘1905’ expresses a double *breaking-point* in terms of significant power expansions in technique (submarine exploration, aviation, ether communication, spectrum analysis) as well as cognition (Einstein’s *annus mirabilis*, Weber’s *protestantische Ethik* thesis, de Saussure’s semiotics, Durkheim’s social fact-finding). The technical suppression of the classic-modern nation-state during this phase starts with an acceleration of sea power (1905 marks the launch of the *Dreadnought* and the start of the *Naval Arms Race*) and ends with a break-out into literally supra-terrestrial power: the launch of V-2 *Wunderwaffe* number MW18014 on 20 June 1944 marks the start of the Space Age and the ‘Trinity Test’ of 16 July 1945 marks the start of the Nuclear Age. It is ironic that the pursuit of revolutionary and transformative forms of power was most explicitly incorporated in the ideologies of the geopolitical *losers* of 20th Century, viz. in Italian *Futurism* and in German *Technical Idealism* (cf. *Sunset*, 237ff). In this regard, Steuckers points to the fact that Schmitt’s legal-philosophical analysis of the economically and technologically motivated *Beschleuniger* can only be properly understood as an expression of the new ‘titanic’ ontology that is incarnated in German Technical Idealism, i.e. the same ‘spectral’ spirituality that inspires technocrats of the Third Reich such as Albert Speer and Wernher von Braun. The German Technical-Idealist aim of transformative *Beschleunigung* also characterized the parallel philosophical explorations of Martin Heidegger.²⁵ Here it should be noted that the search for a way out of the dead-end of Western postmodernity would benefit from a systematic revaluation of the *ideal* content of German Technical Idealism - such a revaluation would be much more interesting than the endless ruminations over its *ideological* weight. A revaluation of German Technical Idealism can proceed from its emphasis on a *productive* (qualitatively measured) rather than a *commercial* (quantitatively measured) economy and on an *explorative* rather than a *utilitarian* science.

²⁴ Chronological terminology according to the scheme of *Sunset*, 390-2 (Early Modernity 1488-1776, Classic Modernity 1776-1920, Late Modernity 1920-1992, Postmodernity 1992-present).

²⁵ A first systematic Archaeo-Futurist attempt at resuming the Heideggerian line of exploration, directed at a break-through of the historical-materialist *Gestell* of Western Modernity and a break-out into the ‘spectral space’ that encapsulates it, is found in Jorjani’s *Prometheus and Atlas*.

(2) The phase of the *Aufhalter*, the ‘Inhibitor’, which covers the forty years between the *Götterdämmerung* of German Technical Idealism and the *Promethium Sky over Hiroshima*²⁶ from 1945 till 1985. 1985 is not only the year of Carl Schmitt’s death; it is also symbolically significant as the year *after* George Orwell’s *1984* and as ‘point of no return’ in anthropogenic global warming - it marks the point at which the postmodern ‘fall into the future’ (Sloterdijk, *Die schrecklichen Kinder*). becomes inevitable and at which all ‘inhibitions’ fail. This phase is characterized by a protracted ‘delaying action’ of the (political, social, cultural) traditional institutions of Western civilization against the rising tide of (doubly technical-industrial and psycho-social mobilized) proto-globalism that starts to flood the Western heartland in 1945. During this phase, these traditional institutions (Monarchy, Church, Nobility, Academy) are gradually pushed back in their role as *Katechon*. As *Aufhalter* the *Katechon* represents the ‘shield of civilization’ that surrounds any Traditional society (cf. Wolfheze, *Alba Rosa*, 114ff). *Le katechon est le dernier pilier d’une société en perdition; il arrête le chaos, en maintient les vecteurs la tête sous l’eau.* ‘The *katechon* is the last pillar of a society in dissolution: it holds back the [forces of] chaos by holding [its] vectors below the surface.’ (10) During this phase, the roots of authentic philosophy of law are gradually cut away: its *Ortungen* (as expressed in Schmitt’s adage *Das Recht ist erdhaft und auf die Erde bezogen*, ‘the law derives from the Earth and refers back to the earthly realm’) are abolished in a global process of de-naturalization, de-territorialization and de-location. During this phase, the *Katechon* institutions are no longer able to stop the literally all-mobilizing but teleologically negative process of globalization - they mere retain a residual function as a temporary *inhibitor*.²⁷ The political reflection of this cultural-historical process is found in the deliberate globalist demolition of the nation-state: states and ethnicities are stripped of their sovereign rights and authentic identities. The geopolitical force field is increasingly dominated by an all-mobilizing, all-liquefying and border-less *thalassocracy*: the all-monetarizing ‘sea power’ that gradually expands outwards from its Atlantic-Anglo-Saxon heartland through tides of money and commerce. Elsewhere, the author has already provided a Traditionalist analysis of the historical rol of ‘thalassocracy’ throughout the Modern Age (cf. Wolfheze, *Sunset*, 287ff) - its contemporary relevance in Eurasianist thought will be addressed in Chapter 11. Here, it is important to focus on the ‘normative’ discourse that is accessory to thalassocratic globalism, including its ephemeral *fata morgana*’s of ‘universal human rights’, ‘international law’, ‘free market mechanisms’ and ‘open borders’: these are raised to the status of ‘norm’ in the political arena. *L’horreur moderne, dans cette perspective généalogique du droit, c’est l’abolition de tous les loci, les lieux, les enracinements, les im-brications. Ces dé-localisations, ces Ent-Ortungen, sont dues aux accélérations favorisées par les régimes du XX^e siècle, quelle que soit par ailleurs l’idéologie dont ils se réclamaient.* ‘The modern horror that finds expression in this genealogy of law is the eradication of all *loci* - all placements, all roots [and] all enclosures. These ‘displacements’, these *Ent-Ortungen*, result from the accelerations that are favoured by all 20th Century regimes, irrespective of the [formal] ideological [discourses] that they claim to represent.’ (10)

(3) The phase of the ‘Normalizer’, approximately coincides with the postmodern Era. During this phase, the structural inversion of the traditional institutions and values of Western

²⁶ A reference to Jason Jorjani’s ‘magical’ interpretation of the ontological (Atlanticist) transformation of Japan, enacted in the collective experience of nuclear warfare.

²⁷ Carl Schmitt projected this role on Adolf Hitler as ‘Protector of the Law’ (*der Führer schützt das Recht*, ‘the Leader protects the Law’) against the revolutionary power of atavist chaos that was (temporarily) disabled during the *Nacht der langen Messern*, the ‘Night of the Long Knives’.

civilization is basically completed. The political-institution and legal-philosophical role of the *Katechon*, which was previously determined by the *positive* (anagogic) trajectory of Western civilization is now *reversed* and replaced by that of the ‘Normalizer’, i.e. by the political-institutional and legal-philosophical ‘anti-christ’ in pursuit of the *negative* (katagogic) norm of globalist postmodernity. This is the phase of fully-fledged Liberal Normativism. Steuckers points to the ‘Weimar Standard’ as the ‘factory setting’ of Liberal Normativism: this standard provides, as it were, the ‘sacred’ reference point and the ideal form of secular-bourgeois Liberalism. The thalassocratic ‘New World Order’, enforced by the ‘letter institutions’ (UN, IMF, WEF, EU, NATO), implements this ‘Weimar Standard’ on a global scale, hijacking the technical (digital, virtual) innovations that are now directly linking ‘borderless’ products and services to ‘borderless’ demands and emotions (world wide web, social media, virtual reality). Instability becomes the standard modality in all spheres of life. In the political sphere, ‘open borders’ prevail. In the social sphere, ‘open relations’ prevail. In the psychological sphere, ‘open access’ prevails: relations are reduced to ‘role-playing’, interactions are reduced to narcissist ‘ego communication’ and intimacies are reduced to the ‘pornosphere’. In the cultural sphere, ‘open sources’ prevail: knowledge is reduced to ‘resource management’ and publicity is reduced to ‘(b)log activity’ - Schmitt uses the term *Logbücher*. The spiritual ‘melt-down’ of Western civilization during this nearly literal new ‘Age of Aquarius’ is a fact. Against this background the role of the ‘Normalizer’ becomes clear. *La fluidité de la société actuelle... est devenue une normalité, qui entend conserver ce jeu de dé-normalisation et de re-normalisation en dehors du principe politique et de toute dynamique de territorialisation. Le normalisateur, troisième figure du décideur chez Schmitt, est celui qui doit empêcher que la crise conduirait à un retour du politique, à une re-territorialisation de trop longue durée ou définitive. Le normalisateur est donc celui qui prévoit et prévient la crise.* ‘The fluidity of society... has [now] become ‘norm’: the [dialectic] process of de-normalization and re-normalization is permanently put beyond the grasp of political power and territoriality. The normalizer, the third avatar of the ‘decision-maker’ in Schmitt’s work, is appointed to manage all crises in such a way as to prevent any definitive or prolonged return to the [exercise of] political power or re-territorialization. Thus, the normalizer is the one that foresees and prevents such crises.’ (14) Effectively, the ‘Normalizer’ is charged with the permanent maintenance of the Liberal-Normativist anti-order: he must *prevent* the widespread recognition of the *Ernstfall* and the resulting declaration of a state of emergency. In religious terms, this would be the classical function of the ‘anti-christ’. This ‘Normalizer’ is now incarnated in the hostile elite of the postmodern West. The functionality of the hostile elite as ‘Normalizer’ explains the extreme forms of its antinomian project: institutional oikophobia, rabid demophobia, politically correct totalitarianism, Orwellian censorship, matriarchal ‘anti-law’, idiocratic anti-education, social deconstruction and ethnic replacement.

The Decisionist Alternative

*In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man,
and brave, and hated and scorned.
When his cause succeeds, the timid join him,
for then it costs nothing to be a patriot.*

- Mark Twain

An answer to the question of whether or not the fast-growing Real Right movement in the heavily battered nation-states of the West is able to politically destroy the globalist New World Order in its old heartland will depend on its meta-political - philosophical, ideological - ability to break out of the 'frame' of postmodernity, which was here identified as the 'steel cage' of Liberal-Normativism. Within the limited framework of this chapter, extensive consideration of this problem is impossible - all that can be done here is to indicate the approximate direction in which this ability must be sought.

Martin Heidegger already pointed to the profound psycho-social conditioning that follows from the ontological quality of Western Modernity. Liberal Normativism can be defined as the psycho-social reflection of this ontological quality, which Heidegger exposes as embodied in the Modern-Western *Gestell*. Jason Jorjani has pointed to the necessity of an explicit re-orientation on the *Techne* as an autonomous and self-creative force field that determines this *Gestell*: only a brand-new technical-idealist 're-thinking' of this *Techne* will provide control over the *Gestell*. Jorjani has started this process of re-thinking: his Archaeo-Futurist approach *encapsulates* this *Techne* and is thus able to break through the epistemological ceiling of historical-materialism.²⁸ Jorjani's break-out from historical-materialist discursive dialectics has delivered a fatal blow to the Liberal-Normativist ideology that is based upon these dialectics - but only if and when that break-out is followed up by a ruthless exploitation of its final (political, economic, social, cultural) consequences. In terms of this exploitation, Carl Schmitt's philosophy of law is highly relevant, because it offers a possibility of an Archaeo-Futurist deconstruction of Liberal Normativism in its political and legal guises. It provides a 'crowbar' with which to wrench open the political-legal 'steel cage' of the Liberal-Normativist anti-state and anti-law. This crowbar is found in Decisionism, as sanctioned by Carl Schmitt's philosophy of law. Carl Schmitt breaks down the (abstract, deconstructive) discursive dialectics of Liberal Normativism by the (concrete, constructive) *Realdialektik* of Decisionism. Decisionism recovers the habitus of *Ordnungsdenken* and it restores the *authentic* (flexible, pragmatic) counter-norm of the *Obrigkeitsstaat*. Decisionism offers the Real Right movement an Archaeo-Futuristically valid deconstruction of Liberal Normativism.

Steuckers' reconstruction of Schmitt's philosophy of law provides the building blocks of a new, Archaeo-Futuristically framed Decisionism as a remedy for Liberal Normativism. An Archaeo-Futuristically determined Decisionism will have to take its cue from the institutional and legal-philosophical Western Tradition: *Tout avenir doit être tributaire du passé, être dans sa continuité, participer d'une perpétuation, faute de quoi il ne serait qu'une sinistre farce, un projet éradicateur et, par là même, criminel*. 'Every [vision of the] future must recognize itself as heir of the past and as [carrier of historical] continuity: otherwise, it will be nothing more than a sinister farce, a project of destruction and, therefore, a criminal [enterprise].' (60-1) At the same time, it is important to build in an important caveat: Steuckers points to the need for a *pragmatic* application of Decisionism, befitting the contemporary reality: *...il y a... deux*

²⁸ Elsewhere and under his old name, the author of this review chapter has provided his own epistemological 'deconstruction' of the Modern *épistémè* through a critique of its historical-materialist and mono-chronological 'relativity': Merijn Gantzert, *The Emar Lexical Texts IV* (Maastricht: Boekenplan, 2011). There, it is explained how, in terms of comparative epistemology, the Modern *épistémè* depends on the historicized transcendental status of 'Life'. Thus, its 'scientific' models, such as Evolution Theory, effectively constitute modern forms of totemism, allowing the symbolic representation of newly regressed social categories in natural (*in casu*: 'natural scientific') elements.

dangers à éviter, celui de caricaturer la tradition, [comme] éloigné[e] de tout véritable souci du... 'politique politique', et celui de l'abandonner au profit de maigres schémas normativistes. '... two dangers must be avoided: [first,] a caricature of tradition, divorced from an effective concern for... a [always pragmatic] 'political politics', and, [second,] an abandonment of tradition in favour of substance-less normativist schemes.' (63) Accordingly, there can be no neo-reactionary return to anachronistic forms of Decisionism: *...les régimes pré-libéraux... étaient plus stables sur le long terme, [m]ais... on ne pourra pas les restaurer sans d'effroyables bains de sang, sans une sorte d'apocalypse. [On] doit dès lors éviter l'enfer sur terre et œuvrer au maintien des stabilités politiques réellement existantes. '...the pre-liberal forms of government [that ruled the pre-modern world]... were more stable in the long term, but... they cannot be restored without a horrific bloodbath and a kind of apocalypse. It is imperative to avoid hell on earth and to work within the framework of such political stability as can still be found.'* (31) Thus, modern Decisionism should avoid anachronistic purism: it should seek *organic development*.

Key elements of such an organic development can be found in Steuckers' reconstruction of the historical trajectory of Western Decisionism. Partially secularized, but still transcendently-inspired aspects of a Decisionism that serves the 'greater good' can be found in a series of chronologically sequential but organically related notions that are scattered throughout the history of the Western philosophy of law. These include: the *Corpus Mysticum* of Francisco Suárez (1548-1617), the *volonté générale* of Jean-Jacques Rousseau (1712-78), the *élan vital*²⁹ of Henri Bergson (1859-1941), the *omul nou* of Corneliu Codreanu (1899-1938) and the *Reichstheologie* of Erich Przywara (1889-1972). These notion transcend all 19th and 20th Century 'isms': the transcend fascism (which tends to wrongly view the state as an *aim* instead of a *means*), nationalism (which tends to wrongly ascribe an *active* instead of a *passive* role to the nation) and parliamentarism (which tends to wrongly prioritize *procedures* over *problem-solving*). Thus, there exists an uninterrupted (semi-)Traditionalist continuity that develops alongside - and in constant opposition to - the gradual modernist devolution that has now resulted in the Liberal Normativist New World Order, realized through the (trans-national and informal) *potestas indirecta* of the hostile elite. This alternative Decisionist continuity offers a guideline for an Archaeo-Futurist deconstruction of Liberal Normativism: it offers an exit from the total *Staatsdämmerung* of neo-Liberalism and the permanent *Ersatz-Revolution* of Cultural-marxism.

In the peripheral areas of the West, the first signs of a proto-Archaeo-Futurist reaction to Liberal Normativism are already becoming visible: these are the 'Enlightened Decisionisms' of Vladimir Putin, Viktor Orbán and Recep Erdogan, very accurately defined as 'illiberal' by the Liberal-Normativist propaganda machine. The Western hostile elite is now scrambling to prevent the spread of this Decisionist reactive movement into the Western heartland, a spread that can already be discerned in phenomena such as 'Brexit', 'Trump' and 'M5S'. The hostile elite is opting for a *Flucht nach vorne* by an accelerating of its core strategies: the introduction of totalitarian matriarchy (anti-white 'multiculturalism', anti-male 'transgenderism', anti-intellectual 'political correctness'), the fostering of social implosion ('no-fault divorce', 'birth

²⁹ A concept that implies morpho-genetic synergy; Bergson develops it in his best-known work, *L'Evolution créatrice*. An Archaeo-Futurist re-interpretation of the concept *élan vital* is found in Jason Jorjani, *Prometheus and Atlas* (2017 - review in *Alba Rosa*, 209ff).

control', 'sexual revolution') and the enforcement of ethnic replacement ('refugee quotas', 'migration pacts', 'high-skill migration').

The success of the Western Real Right movement in its struggle with the hostile elite depends not only on an intellectual re-armament through the re-instatement of a Decisionist (meta-)political discourse, but also on the inner re-enactment of a deeper *Wehr- und Waffen-Instinkt*, or 'defence and armament instinct'.³⁰ In this regard, Steuckers emphasizes the importance of traditional Western ethics of the *crusader*, i.e. the double monastic and knightly archetype of the 'military *Katechon*'. There is a direct psycho-historical relation between the Crisis of the Modern West and the abolition of the Western monastic and knightly traditions. Steuckers points to the crucial role of crusader ideal in Western history, which tends to recur in highly stylized forms in heroic figures such as Johann Tserclaes Count von Tilly, commander of the Catholic League from 1610 till 1632, Prince Eugene of Savoy, victorious over the French hereditary enemy at Blenheim (1704) and Oudenaerde (1708) and over the Turkish archenemy at Zenta (1697) and Belgrade (1717). The capacity of the Western Real Right movement to mount a credible Decisionist challenge against the Liberal-Normativist hostile elite will also depend on a re-enactment of the Western *Wehr- und Waffen-Instinkt*. This means the capacity to wage war in all spheres: physical, psychological, intellectual and spiritual. The 'training' required to reach a sufficient level of 'fitness' will have to start with a therapeutic confrontation with the psycho-historical traumas of the West. Session One: a *positive inner* re-enactment of the existential attitude that is expressed in - obviously *German* and *Prussian* - 'taboo words' such as *Beharrung*, *Kleinkrieg*, *Zermürbung*, *totaler Widerstand*, *totaler Krieg*. Session Two: a *transformative projection* of this re-enactment into brand-new 'catch phrases' that call for peaceful but effective civic resistance: 'Take the Hit' (Jared Taylor) and 'Great White Strike' (Frodi Midjord). Session Three: the development of an unwavering commitment through a *permanent confrontation* with the enemy: inward in what the Islamic Tradition terms *al-jihad al-akbar*, and outward in what the Augustinian Tradition terms the *bellum justum*. The discipline and courage that will result from these exercises will bring the hostile elite to its knees soon enough: the hostile elite maybe malicious - it is also *cowardly*.

*Noch sitzt ihr da oben, ihr feigen Gestalten.
Vom Feinde bezahlt, dem Volke zum Spott.
Doch einst wird wieder Gerechtigkeit walten, dann richtet das Volk.
Dann genade Euch Gott!*
'Still you are on top, you cowardly figures,
paid by our enemy, ridiculed by our people.
But one day righteousness will prevail - on you will be judged by our people.
On that day, may God be with you!
- Theodor Körner

The Eurasianist Dimension

à tous les coeurs bien-nés que la patrie est chère

³⁰ A reference to Friedrich Nietzsche's usage of Martin Luther's theme 'A mighty fortress is our God, a good defence and weapon'.

The struggle against the globalist hostile elite, which is thinking and operating on a planetary scale, demands more than a Real Right intervention at the *national* level within each of the Western states: it also demands a certain degree of geopolitical coordination at an *international* level. In this regard, Steuckers' brilliant 'update' of Schmitt's *Land und Meer* analysis is highly relevant. Steuckers points to the fact that the approaching apogee globalism - effectively the apogee of Atlanticist-Anglo-Saxon thalassocracy analyzed by Schmitt - is characterized by 'pyro-politics': globalism's compulsive resort to geopolitical 'scorched earth' strategies in all parts of the world that are not directly accessible to sea power-based globalism, 'burning away' all multi-polar points of resistance. *Les forces hydropolitique cherchent à détruire par tous les moyens possibles cette terre qui ne cesse de résister. Pour parvenir à cette fin, l'hydropolitique cherchera à provoquer des explosions sur les lambeaux de continent toujours résistants ou même simplement survivants. L'hydropolitique thalassocratique va alors chercher à mobiliser à son profit l'élément Feu comme allié, un Feu qu'elle ne va pas manier directement mais confier à des forces mercenaires, recrutées secrètement dans des pays ou des zones urbaines en déréliction, disposant d'une jeunesse masculine surabondante et sans emplois utiles. Ces forces mercenaires seront en charge des sales boulots de destruction pure, de destruction de tout ce qui ne s'était pas encore laissé submerger.* 'The hydro-political powers are pursuing the destruction of all landpowers that persists in resisting [globalist thalassocracy] with all means at their disposal. To achieve that aim, hydro-politics is seeking to provoke explosions in all remnants of continental power that continue to exist, or simply continue to survive. To this end, thalassocratic hydro-politics is attempting to mobilize the Fire element as an ally - an [element] that it cannot apply directly, but which it entrusts to those mercenary forces that it secretly recruits from the unemployed surplus male youth [found] in [backward] countries and derelict suburbs. These mercenary forces are committed to the 'dirty work' of wanton destruction - to the destruction of everything that has not yet allowed itself to be submerged [by globalism].' (241)

Thus, Steuckers explains a number of contemporary geopolitical patterns, such as the waves of 'humanitarian interventions' (Somalia 1992, Kosovo 1999, Libya 2011), 'proxy wars' (Chechenia from 1994, Sinkiang from 2007, Syria from 2011) and ethnic *émeutes*, or 'city riots' (Los Angeles 1992, Paris 2005, London 2011). Other phenomena that can be explained through the prism of Steuckers' pyro-politics are the hostile elite's deliberate creation of 'colour revolution', 'separatism movements' and 'failed states'. The author of this chapter proposes to extend this pyro-political analysis to even greater contemporary patterns. Thus, anthropogenic climate change ('fired up' through global-scale hyper-consumerism and industrial 'outsourcing' to the Third World), global overpopulation ('fired up' through 'development aid' to the Third World) and intercontinental migration ('fired up' through 'refugee resettlement' and 'humanitarian assistance') can be understood as calculated experiments in globalist pyro-politics. *...[L]a stratégie thalassocratique de mettre le Feu à des régions entières du globe en incitant à des révoltes, en ranimant des haines religieuses ou des conflits tribaux n'est certes pas nouvelle mais vient de prendre récemment des proportions plus gigantesque qu'auparavant dans l'histoire. C'est là le défi majeur lancé à l'Europe en cette deuxième décennie du XXI^e siècle.* '...The thalassocratic 'scorched earth' strategy, which is [now] affecting entire regions of the globe by inciting revolts, stoking up religious hatreds and reanimating tribal conflicts, is certainly not new, but it has recently taken on historically unprecedented proportions. This is the greatest challenge facing Europe in the second decade of the 21st Century.' (243)

Steuckers points to Schmitt legal-philosophical validation of a geopolitical vision that offers Europe an alternative to globalist pyro-politics: a *European Monroe Doctrine*. This alternative finds its legal-philosophical validity in the Decisionist priority of earthly *Realpolitik* over abstract ‘normative politics’: *das Recht ist erdhaft und auf die Erde bezogen*, ‘the law derives from the Earth and refers back to the earthly realm’. In the geopolitical vision of Schmitt that has been reconstructed by Steuckers, the atrocious atavism of globalist pyro-politics is directly caused by the philosophical regression that runs parallel to America’s rise as a thalassocratic superpower - the American intervention in the First World War marks the fatal turning point. ...[L]e droit n’existe pas sans territoire et... les civilisations se basent sur une organisation spécifique de l’espace (*Raumordnung*), d’où découle un [*i*]us publicum admis par tous. En Europe, de la fin du Moyen Age jusqu’au début de notre siècle, l’histoire a connu un [*i*]us publicum europaeum où l’on admettait que chaque Etat, chaque Nation menaient une guerre juste de son point de vue. Ce respect de l’adversaire et des [motives] qui le poussent à agir humanisera la guerre. Avec Wilson, on assiste à un retour à la discrimination entre les ennemis car l’Amérique s’arroge le droit de mener seule une guerre juste. ‘...There can be no law without territory and... all civilizations base themselves on their own particular *Raumordnung* from which they derive a [*i*]us publicum that is recognized by all. From the late Middle Ages till the beginning of the [20th] Century, the history of Europe is determined by a [*i*]us publicum europaeum which recognizes the legitimate right of every State and every Nation to wage war, commensurate to its lawful interests. This respect for the enemy and for the motives that cause him to act led to a [relative] ‘humanization’ in [European] warfare. But during [the presidency of Woodrow] Wilson, there is a regression into discrimination between enemies, because [under his leadership] America claims the exclusive right to wage a just war.’ (19)

The abstractly normativist philosophy of law that underpins globalist geopolitics and that continues to follow the Wilsonian path can only be deconstructed by a systematic return to concrete legal-philosophical *Ortungen*, i.e. by literal re-territorializations and the reconstitution of multiple place-bound legal orders. This is the legal-philosophical basis for a viable *multipolar* geopolitical order - a multipolarity that forms the basis for the Neo-Eurasianist project proposed by Aleksandr Dugin (cf. Chapter 11 of Wolfheze, *Rupes Nigra*). Dugin’s work reflects the re-territorialization of the Russian State and Nation after the seventy-year de-territorialization of the trans-national Soviet project. Thus, what Steuckers already predicted in 1985, before Gorbachev’s *Glasnost* and *Perestroika*, has come true: *Quand les Russes cesseront de se laisser gouverner par de vieux idéocrates, ils seront à nouveau eux-mêmes: le peuple théophore, le peuple porteur du sublime*. ‘When the Russian stop allowing themselves to be ruled by old ideocrats, they will again be what they were before: the theophoric people, the people that carry the Sublime’. (27) The miraculous resurrection of Russia from the ashes of Soviet Communism can inspire the Western peoples: it sets a precedent for their own resurrection from the ashes of Liberal Normativism.

Thus, the basis of a Eurasianist ‘Monroe Doctrine’ that can protect the peoples and civilizations of Eurasia from globalist thalassocracy must be sought in a concrete legal-philosophical *Ortung*. *Si l’Europe a un droit à l’identité, il convient de définir cette identité à la lumière du concret, en rappelant les lourdes concrétudes de l’histoire et sans ressasser ces pseudo-arguments complètement stériles qu’avancent tous les fétichistes adoreurs d’idéaux désincarnés. Parce que l’Europe n’est pas d’abord une idée, belle et abstraite... L’Europe, c’est d’abord une terre, un espace, morcelé en Etats nationaux depuis le XVII^e siècle, balkanisée*

avant la lettre en son centre géographique depuis ce pré-Yalta que furent les traités de Westphalie conclus en 1648. ‘If Europe has the right to an identity, then it is necessary to define that identity in the light of concrete [reality], recalling the burdensome concrete facts of [its] history without regressing into the entirely vacuous and sterile pseudo-arguments that have been launched by the adoring fetishists of abstract ideas. Because Europe is *not* a beautiful and abstract idea... Above all, Europe is a territory, a space that has been divided up into nation-states since the 17th Century, and that has been ‘balkanized’ *avant la lettre* since the proto-Yalta of the Westphalia Treaties signed in 1648.’ (25) Accordingly, the Eurasianist project aims at re-territorializations: politically in restored state sovereignty, socially in restored ethnic identity and economically in restored autarky (i.e. a maximum of self-sufficiency in the production of food, energy and industry for each of its regional ‘welfare spheres’). *L’économie, par la crise, nous défie et nous accuse d’avoir fait fausse route. La géopolitique nous dicte ses vieux déterminismes que personne ne peut contourner. Il n’y a que nos volontés qui vacillent, qui ne suivent pas l’implacable diktat du réel et de l’histoire.* ‘[Chronic] economic crises are challenging us and they prove to us that we have chosen the wrong path. Geopolitics forces us to deal with the older [earth-bound] realities that cannot be overturned by anybody. It is only our will that is [still] lacking: [we should recover our] determination to follow the incontrovertible signposts of [earthly] and historical reality.’ (27)

To defeat the globalist hostile elite, the Real Right movement of the West must gain insight into the enemy’s mind and motives. In this respect, it has much to gain by simply revisiting the great thinkers of the Western Tradition. It therefore owes a great debt of gratitude to Robert Steuckers for providing updated access to the rich heritage of Carl Schmitt - and for providing the weaponry it needs to destroy the hostile elite.

*Behold, I have created the smith that bloweth the coals in the fire,
and that bringeth forth an instrument for his work;
and I have created the waster to destroy.
No weapon that is formed against thee shall prosper;
and every tongue that shall rise against thee in judgment thou shalt condemn.
This is the heritage of the servants of the Lord,
and their righteousness is of me, saith the Lord.
- Isaiah 54:16-17*