<div align="center">

ESSAY 25 – BOOK REVIEW

by Alexander Wolfheze

Edelweiss
- The Archaeo-Futurist European Imperial Idea in
Robert Steuckers' *Europa I. Valeurs et racines profonds de l'Europe*
(Madrid: BIOS, 2017)

Prologue: Wormtongue in Zürich

</div>

Wir beschliessen etwas, stellen das dann in den Raum und warten einige Zeit ab, was passiert. Wenn es dann kein großes Geschrei gibt und Aufstände, weil die meisten gar nicht begreifen was da beschlossen wurde, dann machen wir weiter - Schritt für Schritt, bis es kein zurück mehr gibt.[1] 'When we decide something, we put it out there and we wait for some time to see what happens. When there is no major protest and revolt, because most people do not understand what we have decided, then we simply continue - step by step, till there is no going back.' - Jean-Claude Juncker, President of the European Union

For the Brussels regime of globalist eurocrats, the European elections of May 2019 may have represented an opportunity to fit itself with a new set of 'democratic clothes', but it also represented the Real Right with an opportunity to revisit its essential critique of the 'EU project'. The camouflage cover of the EU emperorship had become threadbare to the extent that even Dutch PM Mark Rutte, its heir-apparent, started to openly wonder whether the time has not come to switch from outdated democratic outfits to new totalitarian 'uniform' styles. In this regard, the title of his Zürich 'Churchill Lecture' of 13 February 2019 - generally interpreted as yet another 'job application' by political analysts - leaves little room for doubt: 'The EU: from the power of principles towards principles and power'. Despite the grammatical ambiguity, the 'semantic switch' is clear for all to see: the 'power principle' is now foremost in the minds of the eurocratic elite. For *the EU needs a reality check; power is not a dirty word* read: 'the EU should use its instruments of power'. For *the importance of being less naïve and more realistic* read: 'it is time to end the idealistic charade'. For *the requirement of unanimity reflects the fact that foreign policy is part of the core of national sovereignty... But when it comes to sanctions, I do think that we must give serious thought to enabling qualified majority voting for specific, defined cases* read: 'the remaining state sovereignty of the member states should be diminished even further'. In fact, the transformation of the EU into a 'super state' is already a palpable reality: the steady accumulation of censorship in the (social) media and digital sphere, through 'hate speech codes',[2] 'fake news taskforces'[3] and 'copyright directives',[4] is approaching the level of Orwellian perfection. As the totalitarian finish line of the EU project is coming into view, it is important to re-view its historical genesis and ideological baseline.

---

[1] 'Der Spiegel', 52/1999.
[2] https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/news/countering-illegal-hate-speech-online-eu-code-conduct-ensures-swift-response
[3] https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/fake-news-disinformation
[4] https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/modernisation-eu-copyright-rules - note the intriguingly explicit link that is here made between the proposed measures and the recent 'Marrakesh Pact' that aims at speeding up the ethnic replacement of the European peoples.

The Maastricht Treaty that laid the formal groundwork for the present-day European Union was signed on 7 February 1992, only six weeks after the formal dissolution of the Soviet Union. Thus, the construction of the new cultural-marxism Western Bloc commenced almost immediately after the demolition of the old real-socialist Eastern Bloc. Since then, the EU was not only enlarged *externally* (most substantially through the hasty absorption of the Central European nation-states that had just freed themselves from Eastern Bloc rule) - it was also transformed *internally*, rapidly developing into a proto-totalitarian 'super state' project and a worthy successor to the Soviet Union. In a number of ways, the similarities are increasingly startling. The same social 'deconstruction' - Eastern Bloc: hyper-proletarian collectivism / Western Bloc: neo-matriarchal levelling. The same economic 'deconstruction' - Eastern Bloc: 'forced collectivization' / Western Bloc: 'disaster capitalism'. The same ethnic 'deconstruction' - Eastern Bloc: 'group deportation' / Western Bloc: *Umvolkung*. In the contemporary West, the discrepancy between the theoretical discourse of the rulers and the practical lived reality of the people is approaching the same grotesque 'doublethink' level as it reached in the Eastern Bloc. The ideological doctrine of Western liberal-normativism theoretically upholds 'freedom', 'equality', 'democracy', 'justice' and 'human rights', but its real-time practice of nihilist deconstruction provides only social-darwinist economic jungle law, perverse social implosion, pervasive institutional corruption, failing law enforcement and wholesale ethnic replacement. In a certain sense, the Western Bloc has already surpassed Eastern Bloc totalitarianism: in all EU member states the EU flag is everywhere displayed right next to the national flag - a direct insult to national dignity that even the formally independent Soviet satellite states were spared.

Given this escalating discrepancy between theory and practice, the ruling class of the Western Bloc - a globalistically-eurocratically operating coalition between neo-liberal high finance and cultural-marxism intelligentsia - has been transformed into a *hostile elite* in the true sense of the word. Its EU project has been shown for what it truly constitutes: a globalist *anti-Europe project*. If European civilization, and the indigenous peoples of Europe that are the bearers of this civilization are to survive, the removal of the hostile elite must have absolute priority. In working towards this end, a fundamental (cultural-historical, political-philosophical) critique of its ideology is of crucial importance for the Real Right opposition. An important contribution to this critique has recently been made by Belgian Traditionalist publicist Robert Steuckers: a better 'guide' to the issues at stake in the upcoming 'European elections' of May 2019 than his great trilogy *Europa* is hardly imaginable. This present chapter aims at making Steuckers' analysis of Europe's *authentic* core values and identitarian roots, found in Part I of *Europa* but written in French and not yet translated, available to a wider English-speaking audience. Part I of *Europa* offers more than a thorough counter-analysis of the postmodern 'deconstruction' of Europe's authentic values and identities: it offers a clear formulation of a viable alternative: an Archaeo-Futuristically inspired 'Europe of the Peoples', based on the complementary principles of autonomous ethnic communities, consistently-applied political subsidiarity and pragmatic confederative structures. It ought to be said once more: the Western Real Right movement owes Robert Steuckers a great debt of gratitude for his tireless educational work. Above and beyond this, the Real Right movement of the Low Countries congratulates him on rising above the usual intellectual mediocrity of our 'lowlands' - and reminding Europe of the fact that even in our backwaters thought is being given to the possible shape of a new Europe of the Peoples.

Note: This essay is not only meant as a review: it also serves as a meta-political analysis in its own right - a contribution to the Real Right counter-deconstruction of the postmodern deconstruction discourse of the Western hostile elite. The core of this essay provides a summary of Steuckers' Traditionalist exploration of European identity. This exploration puts a full stop behind the postmodern deconstruction of that identity and provides a cultural-historical tabula rasa *that allows the Real Right movement to give an entirely new and revolutionary meaning to the idea that is 'Europe'. In an intellectual sense, an Archaeo-Futurist Europe is now effectively within reach. This essay is organized to treat the 'Crisis of Modern Europe' in three steps: the first paragraph triad offers base-line 'diagnostics', the second paragraph triad offers 'therapeutic' reference points and the seventh paragraph suggests avenues for a concrete 'treatment'. In the first and last paragraphs, the reviewer gives an outline of the larger Archaeo-Futurist context within which Steuckers' exploration of European identity becomes relevant for the Real Right movement. The actual 'review' of Steuckers'* Europa I *is found in paragraphs 2 through 6.*

The Red Weed
(psycho-historical diagnosis)

'Over Your Cities Grass Will Grow'[5]

Till today, H.G. Wells' evergreen masterpiece *The War of the Worlds* not only remains one of the greatest works of the entire literary science fiction genre: till today, it also retains a direct - albeit mostly unconsciously and instinctively recognized - relevance to the existential condition of Western civilization.[6] Wells' masterful impressionist rendering of 'Earth under the Martians' sketches a world where mankind has lost its bearings - where all recognition and reference points have been wiped away. As human civilization is destroyed by superior alien technology, alien occupation reduces mankind itself to cattle for the slaughter - even earthly nature itself is displaced by alien vegetation. Thus a (literally) creepy 'red weed' - a reference to the red colour of 'war planet' Mars - grows over the ruins of human civilization, suffocating the remnants of earthly vegetation.[7] Literary analyses of *The War of the Worlds* recognize that Wells' masterpiece can be plausibly interpreted as a series of retrospective and contextual psycho-historical 'mirror images'. Thus, Wells projects the imperialistically rationalized and social-darwinistically justified genocide of 'primitive peoples' (such as the indigenous people of Tasmania)[8] by the 'white master race' throughout the modern era on the hypothetical extermination of humanity by a superior alien race. He also projects the dehumanizing horror of the rising 'bio-industry' of his time on humanity's hypothetical 'cattle status' under alien dominion. Most literary analyses, however, stop of short of pointing to the *predictive* value of

---

[5] A *double entendre* reference to the title of the postmodern - and 'pre-apocalyptic' - art documentary Sophie Fiennes (2010).

[6] For a summary Traditionalist interpretation of the science fiction genre – as hierophany and cryptomnesia – cf. *Sunset*, 240ff. For a psycho-historical 'update' on this theme, cf. Wolfheze, *Alba Rosa*, 35ff.

[7] Cf. Geoff Taylor's masterful illustrations for the cover of Jeff Wayne's musical version of 'The War of the Worlds'.

[8] In pre-postmodern scientific sources Truganini is mentioned as the last full-blood Tasmanian and the last mother-tongue speaker of the Tasmanian language. She was born around 1812 as a daughter of the chief of the indigenous people of Bruny Island, located just off the southern coast of Tasmania. She survived the massacres, rapes and relocations of British colonial *Umvolkung* of her motherland and died in exile in 1876 – after her death, her skeleton was exhibited as a 'scientific curiosity'. Cf. Wolfheze, *Sunset*, 318ff.

Wells' work - a value that derives from its *forward* projection of multiple and simultaneous occurring technological and sociological developmental trajectories. Earlier times would undoubtedly have been recognized Wells' genius literary packaging of these projections as straightforward 'prophecy' - our own time must make do with 'scientific fiction'.

The existential stress fractures that Modernity has caused in Western civilization can be analyzed - and partially projected forward - by means of modern scientific models: economically as *Entfremdung* (Karl Marx), sociologically as *anomie* (Emile Durkheim), psychologically as *cognitive dissonance* (Leon Festinger) and philosophically as *Seinsvergessenheit* (Martin Heidegger). For the Western Real Right movement the meta-political relevance of these analyses does not primarily reside in their - sometimes ideologically biased - 'deconstructive' capacity, but rather in their simple *diagnostic* value. In this respect, there exists an important similarity between such modern scientific models and modern artistic 'models' such as Wells' *The War of the Worlds*: by interpreting societal develops as 'omens' they can provide societal 'traffic signs' - and existential 'warning signs'. By now, the accumulative impact of Modernity on Western societies is so great that the existential condition of the Western peoples can no longer be described in terms of authentic civilizational continuities or historical 'standard settings'. When deviation, aberration and derailment determine an entire existential condition, then historically recognizable 'standards' are obsolete. When scientifically formulated 'traffic signs' are ignored for long enough, then artistically 'predicted' dystopian abysses must be faced. It is not by chance that the current phase op (ex-)Western cultural history is described by the term '*postmodern*': the (ex-)Western societies of today have largely *left behind* authentic civilizational continuity and they are now moving with increasing speed in the direction of existential conditions that bare an uncanny resemblance to those that prevailed in Wells' vision of 'Earth under the Martians'.

The new 'globalist' ruling class of the West has effectively separated itself from the Western peoples - and positioned itself *above* it. Now, its only 'connection' to these peoples is found in the *impact* of its power. The hostile elite now considers itself superior to the 'masses', which it considers itself to have 'outgrown', in a sense that is not merely ethical and aesthetical: it considers itself *evolutionarily superior* - it has become *alienated* in the most literal sense of the word (cf. Jason Jorjani, *World State of Emergency*, 69ff). The consistently negative effects of the hostile elite's exercise of power - felt most particularly in neo-liberal exploitation, industrial ecocide, bio-industrial animal cruelty, cultural-marxism deconstruction, social implosion and ethnic replacement - define its role as a literally *hostile* elite. It does not know empathy and sympathy in any way, shape or form: not for its Western enemies, not for its Third World servants and not for its home planet - it is now literally *alien* to the Earth itself. *The globalists are at war with humanity as a whole. They seek to eliminate or enslave at will. They care about themselves and themselves alone. They are committed to concentrating all wealth in their hideous hands. In their evil eyes, our only purpose is to serve them and enrich them. Hence, there is no room for racism, prejudice, and discrimination in this struggle. It is not a race war but a war for the human race, all included, a socio-political and economic war of planetary proportions* (Paradis, 'What is White Genocide?').

The globalist - and *therefore* anti-European - geopolitical strategy of the hostile elite (which aims at industrial delocalization, social atomization and cultural deracination, cf. Steuckers, 223ff.) may be recognized as social-economic and psycho-social *warfare* by a handful of Real

4

Right thinkers, but the Western masses only recognize its *effects*: economic marginalization (labour market manipulation, artificial unemployment, interethnic wealth redistribution), social malaise (matriarchal anti-law, family structure disruption, digital pornification) and cultural decadence (educational 'idiocracy', academic 'valorization', media 'political-correctness'). Through a carefully calibrated, but increasingly critically dosed process of mass immigration, the hostile elite is constantly reinforcing these economic, social and cultural 'deconstruction' programs - to the point of irreversibility. The *Umvolkung* process aims at eradicating the Western peoples as ethnically, historically and culturally distinct entities by 'dissolving' them in an amorphous mass of atomized *déracinés* - by stamping them down into *la boue*,[9] the 'mud' of identity-less, character-less and will-less 'mass man'. This process of ethno-cultural, social-economic and psycho-social total levelling, for now directed primarily at Europe, aims at the ultimate *Endlösung* of the core problem of the New World Order, which is the continued existence of authentic - and therefore automatically anti-globalist - identities at the collective level. Most concretely, this *Endlösung* is realized through the totalitarian implementation of ethnocidal 'multiculturality' and anti-identitarian 'mobocracy'.

The motivations and aims of the hostile elite effectively 'surpass' the imagination of the Western masses - in certain respects, they even 'surpass' the common categories of human thought. In fact, their 'un-earthly' and 'diabolical' qualities are starting to become increasingly evident in their concrete effects (cf. Wolfheze, *Alba Rosa*, 147ff). Elsewhere, the ideology of the hostile elite was defined as 'Culture Nihilism', i.e. as an improvised amalgam of ideas characterized by militant secularism, social-darwinist hyper-individualism, collectively internalized narcissism and doctrinal culture-relativism aimed at the destruction of all authentic forms of Western civilization. The author has summarily addressed the cultural-historical and political-philosophical genesis of Cultural Nihilism (cf. Chapter 4 of Wolfheze, *Rupes Nigra*) - for now it is sufficient to note its 'hollow nature' and its 'black hole' effect. The fact that the Western masses are not able to grasp Cultural Nihilism as an ideology and as a program is largely due to its *deliberate* 'vagueness': the explicit motivations and aims of the hostile elite are *intentionally il*-logical and *anti*-rational. The only thing that is important for the hostile elite is *power*: its so-called 'ideas' are mere stratagems to gain, retain and expand power: they should be understood as 'frames' that serve specific purposes in *cognitive warfare*.

A good example of this cognitive warfare can be found in the currently fashionable 'climate debate': the 'party (cartel) line' that has been laid out by the hostile elite makes an appeal to *Gutmensch* eco-consciousness, but the punitive tribute that is imposed on the masses by means of new 'climate taxes' is exclusively used for 'investment' in commercial 'climate business' - and to subsidize politically correct 'climate clubs'. The inevitable popular backlash is then cognitively 'transposed' into a sub-rational 'climate denial' discourse that is projected on - even pragmatically claimed *by* - the 'populist' opposition, either in activism (the French 'yellow vests') or in parliament (the '0,00007 degrees centigrade' slogan of the Dutch Forum for Democracy party). In this case, the cognitive dissonance that has been successfully created by the hostile elite runs so deep that the 'common man in the street' is actually denying the reality of vanishing winter ice and absurd February springs seasons to himself. The balancing act of the hostile elite is entirely effective: the 'populist opposition' is happy to abandon the moral

---

[9] A term used by the anti-multicultural French political philosopher Charles Maurras (1868-1952), known as a proponent of 'national integralism' and chief ideologue of the monarchist and anti-revolutionary movement *Action française*.

high ground for the sake of a few extra parliamentary seats, the masses are happy because they can continue their 'dance on the volcano' with some extra years of holiday flights and automobile kilometres and the hostile elite is happy to continue pursuing its 'economic growth' model - and with the extra 'climate taxes' that can be fed into 'commercial investments' and, of course, 'climate refugees'. In the meantime, 'business as usual', or actually *more* than usual, means that the ecocidal clock of anthropogenic global warming and meteorological catastrophes is left to run its course - to the 'final countdown'.

On balance, however, the Western masses do *instinctively* recognize the globalist megalomania of the hostile elite - the elite intelligentsia dismisses this instinctive recognition as 'belly feel' and it disqualifies its political expression as 'populism'. This extreme demophobic arrogance may long retain its effectiveness, but in the longest run, it will come at a heavy price: even now, the Western peoples are beginning to experience the globalist regime of the hostile elite as an 'alien occupation'. The masses are slowly by slowly starting to see the all-suffocating power of the hostile elite for what it is: an *alien* 'red weed' that is literally smothering Western civilization and the Western homeland.

I had not realised what had been happening to the world, had not anticipated this startling vision of unfamiliar things. I had expected to see... ruins - I found about me the landscape, weird and lurid, of another planet. For that moment I touched an emotion beyond the common range of men, yet one that the poor brutes we dominate know only too well. I felt as a rabbit might feel returning to his burrow and suddenly confronted by the work of a dozen busy navvies digging the foundations of a house. I felt the first inkling of a thing that presently grew quite clear in my mind, that oppressed me for many days, a sense of dethronement, a persuasion that I was no longer a master, but an animal among the animals, under [alien rule]. With us it would be as with them, to lurk and watch, to run and hide; the fear and empire of man had passed away. - Herbert George Wells, *The War of the Worlds*

The European Kata-Morphosis
(political-philosophical diagnosis)

Impia tortorum long[o]s hic turba furores sanguinis innocui, non satiata, aluit.
Sospite nunc patria, fracto nunc funeris antro, mors ubi dira fuit,
vita salusque patent.
'Here an impious mob of torturers, insatiable,
fed their long-lasting frenzies for innocent blood.
Now that the fatherland is safe, now that the cave of murder has been destroyed,
in the place where foul death once was, life and health are open to all.'[10]

After half a century of systematic demolition work on state structures and ethnic identities, Europe's political, economic, social and cultural landscape has changed beyond recognition. The decades' long outgrowths of parasitical neo-liberalism and prolific cultural-marxism have covered Europe as a 'red weed', creating previously unimaginable societal deformations.

---

[10] The motto of Edgar Allen Poe's *The Pit and the Pendulum*: 'Quatrain composed for the gates of a market to be erected upon the site of the Jacobin Club House at Paris'. Comments and translation from http://blogicaster.blogspot.com/2010/10/epigraph-to-poes-pit-and-pendulum-impia.html

Hyper-mobile 'flash capital' is causing short-lived economic bubbles that give rise to architectural, artistic and fashion monstrosities, spreading outwards from 'central business districts', 'leisure time resorts' and 'academic campus environments'. Ethnic 'diversity' is resulting in social-economic networks that are smothering the Western public sphere as so many 'invasive species': diaspora economies, drug mafias and polycriminal subcultures.[11] These networks are supplemented by un-Western 'spirit-based' institutions: the *awqaf* sponsored by Middle Eastern oil capital, the 'asylum industry' funded by public taxes and the 'system media' managed by globalist capital. What effectively links all these networks and institutions, systematically tolerated and facilitated by the hostile elite, is their common *functionality*, viz. their role as *replacement mechanisms* that are laying the groundwork for the New World Order. In this regard, a crucial role is reserved for the *schwebende Intelligenz*, viz. cultural-marxism intelligentsia that constitutes the globalist avant-garde. This intelligentsia is tasked with the *supra*-spatial and *im*-material deconstruction that precedes the spatial and material deconstruction of Western civilization. These 'spiritual' and 'intellectual' representatives of the globalist occupation regime *...se nichent dans [l]es trois milieux-clefs - média, économie, enseignement - et participent à la élimination graduelle mais certaines des assises idéologiques, des fondements spirituels et éthiques de notre civilisation. Les uns oblitèrent les résidus désormais épars de ces fondements en diffusant une culture de variétés sans profondeur aucune, les autres en décentrant l'économie et en l'éclatant littéralement par les pratiques de la spéculation et de la délocalisation, les troisièmes, en refusant l'idéal pédagogique de la transmission, laquelle est désormais interprétée comme une pratique anachronique et autoritaire, ce qu'elle n'est certainement pas au sens péjoratif que ces termes ont acquis dans le sillage de Mai 68.* '...have settled in [the] three key positions [of globalist power] - media, economy [and] education - and there they work towards the slow but sure elimination of the ideological, spiritual and ethical foundations of our civilization. Some of them are engaged in the erasure of the already crumbling foundational remnants by disseminating superficial 'cultural diversity'. Others [are engaged in] the 'decentralization' of the economy by literally blowing it up through speculation and delocalization. Yet others [are engaged in] the sabotage of the pedagogical ideal of [cultural] transmission by representing [that ideal] as an 'outdated' and 'authoritarian' practice on the basis of the negative connotation that these terms were charged with in the aftermath of May '68.'(262-3)

The globalist intelligentsia is using refined 'alien audience' propaganda strategies and coordinates the cognitive warfare that the hostile elite is waging against the Western peoples: it is creating a liberal-normative habitus of exclusively 'economic thought' that justifies the physical deconstruction of Western civilization. *...[U]ne économie ne peut pas, sans danger, refuser par principe de tenir compte des autres domaines de l'activité humaine. L'héritage culturel, l'organisation de la médecine et de l'enseignement doivent toujours recevoir une priorité par rapport aux facteurs purement économiques, parce qu'ils procurent ordre et stabilité au sein d'une société donnée ou d'une aire civilisationnelle, garantissant du même coup l'avenir des peuples qui vivent dans cet espace de civilisation. Sans une telle stabilité, les*

---

[11] Steuckers uses the highly appropriate term *Ethnic Business*, *ideologically* defined as the neo-liberal sponsorship of diaspora economies and *economically* defined as the 'shadow economies' of multicultural 'parallel societies' that are structurally exempted from formal taxation, labour legislation and judicial oversight. Steuckers refers to an official estimate that put *Ethnic Business* at no less than 18% of the French Gross National Product in 2007.

peuples périssent littéralement d'un excès de libéralisme, ou d'économicisme ou de 'commercialité'... 'An economic model cannot refuse to take account of other spheres of human activity with impunity. The cultural sphere, the healthcare sphere and the educational sphere must always be prioritized above merely economic factors because they provide order and stability to a given community or civilization. They are the guarantors of the future of the peoples living within that civilization. Without such stability, these peoples will literally die of an overdose of 'liberalism', 'economism' and 'commercialism'...' (216-7)

In the European context, the doubly neo-liberal and cultural-marxism deconstruction of Western civilization and peoples is implemented through the Brussels-based 'EU project'. This project is characterized by a radical departure from all traditional notions of pan-European cooperation: in a meta-historical sense, the postmodern 'EU project' represents a *structural inversion* of the classical concept of the European empire. *L'Europe actuelle, qui a pris la forme de l'eurocratie bruxelloise, n'est évidemment pas un empire, mais, au contraire, un super-état en devenir. La notion d''état' n'a rien à voir avec la notion d''empire', car un 'état' est 'statique' et ne se meut pas, tandis que, par définition, un empire englobe en son sein toutes les formes organiques de l'aire civilisationnelle qu'il organise, les transforme et les adapte sur les plans spirituel et politique, ce qui implique qu'il est en permanence en effervescence et en mouvement. L'eurocratie bruxelloise conduira, si elle persiste dans ses errements, à une rigidification totale. L'actuelle eurocratie bruxelloise n'a pas de mémoire, refuse d'en avoir une, a perdu toute assise historique, se pose comme sans racines. L'idéologie de cette construction de type 'machine' relève du pur bricolage idéologique, d'un bricolage qui refuse de tirer des leçons des expériences du passé. Cela implique une négation de la dimension historique des systèmes économiques réellement existants, qui ont effectivement émergé et se sont développés sur le sol européen.* 'Contemporary 'Europe', as given shape by the Brussels 'eurocrats', is clearly *not* an empire - it represents its opposite: a superstate-in-the-making. The notion of the 'state' is essentially different from the notion of the 'empire': the 'state' is [literally] 'static' and [essentially] immovable, whereas the 'empire' is [always in a state of flux, because it is] engaged in the [constant] absorption of the organic forms that come within its reach, re-shaping and re-adapting them in accordance with its spiritual and political precepts. Thus, [the Brussels super-state] is a constant state of fermentation and movement. If the Brussels eurocracy continues on its current path, which is leading [in the opposite direction and] towards a dead end, then it will end up in a state of total 'fossilization'. In its current form, the Brussels eurocracy lacks - and refuses - [any kind of historical] memory and it resists [any kind of historical] rootedness. [Its radically] constructivist and mechanical self-image is based on an ideological improvisation that refuses to learn from the lessons and experiences of [European] history. This involves a denial of the historical dimension of the [specific national] real-life economic systems that have [organically] sprung up from the soil of Europe.' (215-6)

From a political-philosophical perspective, the deeply *anti-European* 'EU project' represents no less than a globalist *Machtergreifung*. Neo-Jacobin radicals have taken over the reins of power and the historical precedents for Jacobin power experiments[12] - as in French and

---

[12] During the French Revolution, the Jacobin Club was based in the Jacobin monastery in the Paris Parijse Rue Saint-Honoré - it was an extreme-left party-political organization founded by radical freemasons led by Maximilien Robespierre (1758-94). It attempted to enforce its vision of secular republicanism and social revolution through judicial murder and state-sponsored terrorism. During the Russian Revolution, Lenin's political methodology was directly inspired by the Jacobin experiment.

Russian revolutionary terror - should set off alarm bells all over Europe. But knowledge of the European historical context of the 'EU project', by itself, is insufficient for a thorough understanding of its ostensibly contradictory - because *self-destructive* - anti-European aims. Such an understanding requires insight into the *larger* aims of globalism - in his *Europa* trilogy, Steuckers now provides that insight in a lucid and concise manner.

Globalist Anti-Europe Project
(geo-political diagnosis)

Sometimes the crime that one is about to commit is so terrible
that to commit it on behalf nation is not enough
- one needs to commit it on behalf of humanity.
- Nicolás Gómez Dávila

Steuckers' panoramic overview of the contemporary global geopolitical landscape proceeds from the notion that the anti-European 'EU project' can only be properly understood as the result of the Second World War. That conflict ended the great power status and imperial hegemony of the European nation-states: the military defeat of France (1940), Italy (1943) and Germany (1945) was followed by the liquidation of European colonial empires (British India in 1947, the Dutch East Indies in 1949, Belgian Congo in 1960, French Algeria in 1962 and Portuguese Africa in 1975). In a few short years, world rule shifted to two superpowers that were guided by universalist ideologies and globalist geopolitics: the United States as the champion of Liberalism and the Soviet Union as the champion of Socialism. The physical (geographic, demographic, industrial) assets of defeated Europe were divided between the victors through military treaties (NATO, Warsaw Pact) and economic structures (EEC, Comecon). It is important to remember these brutal realities of military defeat, colonial liquidation and political tutelage. *La Seconde Guerre mondiale avait pour objectif principal, selon Roosevelt et Churchill, d'empêcher l'unification européenne sous la férule des puissances d'Axe, afin d'éviter l'émergence d'une économie 'impénétrée' et 'impénétrable', capable de s'affirmer sur la scène mondiale. La Second Guerre mondiale n'avait donc pas pour but de 'libérer' l'Europe mais de précipiter définitivement l'économie de notre continent dans un état de dépendance et de l'y maintenir. Je n'énonce donc pas un jugement 'moral' sur les responsabilités de la guerre, mais je juge son déclenchement au départ de critères matériels et économiques objectifs. Nos médias omettent de citer encore quelques buts de guerre, pourtant clairement affirmés à l'époque, ce qui ne doit surtout pas nous induire à penser qu'ils étaient insignifiants.* 'For Roosevelt and Churchill the main aim of the Second World War was to prevent of the unification of Europe under the Axis powers, which would have given rise to a [European] economy that would have been 'impenetrable' and 'invincible' as an independent force on the world stage. Thus, [their true] aim in fighting the Second World War was not the 'liberation' of Europe, but [merely] the reduction of [Europe's] continental economy to a state of permanent dependence. This statement does not reflect any pronouncement on the 'moral' responsibility for that war - it merely reflects the objective material and economic goals [that shaped it]. The fact that [the system] media are [carefully] avoiding any mention of [those] other goals, [goals] that were clearly pronounced at the time, does not mean that they were unimportant.' (220)

In the mid-'80s, after four decades of Cold War, the first stress fractures started to appear the globally operating power structures of the two superpowers. The Challenger and Chernobyl disasters (respectively, 28 January and 26 April 1986) clearly illustrated the fact that the symptoms of 'imperial overstretch' could no longer be hidden from public view. Escalating economic chaos and increasing loss of political credibility forced both superpowers into radical domestic reforms: *Reaganomics* and *Perestroika* represent the superpowers' geopolitical high water mark. After the implosion of the Soviet Union, the United States formally comes out 'on top', but the Pyrrhic quality of America's Cold War 'victory' soon becomes undeniable: it immediately 'rolls over' when China transforms itself into an economic superpower and it soon retreats from the Third World, which had been the prime battlefield of the Cold War just a few years before. After the American defeat in Somalia (*Black Hawk Down*, 1993), Africa is allowed to collapse into 'failed states' and neo-tribal chaos. After the American retreat from Panama (*Canal Zone Handover*, 1999), Latin America is left to *Bolivarianismo* and the *Marea Rosa*. The imperialist 'rat race' between sovereign nation-states that started with the 'World War Zero' Seven Years War (1756-63) may have ended with America as 'last man standing', but the enforcement of an *authentically imperial* Pax America is far beyond the scope of America's geopolitical intent, ambition and capacity. Thus, despite the overt Wilsonian rhetoric that surrounded America's interventions in Iraq (Bush Senior in 1991 and Bush Junior in 2003), these do not represent exercises in principled 'global governance' - rather, they simply represent attempts at pragmatic resource control. After the self-abolition of the Soviet Union as a superpower contender and after the official announcement of a 'New World Order' (Bush Senior, 1991), the American ruling class decided that the 'End of History' (Fukuyama, 1992) had come: it decided to switch from Americanism to globalism. Thus, it deliberately transformed itself into a 'world elite', now accessible to anybody with very much money and very little morality. This new world elite considers itself entirely exempt from the old rules and laws of geopolitics: from its perspective, national sovereignty, cultural uniqueness and ethnic identity are hopelessly outdated phenomena that merely stand in the way of its Brave New World. As a group, this new 'globalist' elite has cut itself off from all ethnic, religious and cultural roots: on the basis of this self-willed rootlessness it turns against the rest of mankind, to the extent that the rest still possesses roots: against states that still possess sovereign rights, against cultures that still possess authentic essences and against peoples that still possess substantial identities. The globalist hostile elite is born.

Under the double banners of neo-liberalism and cultural-marxism, the hostile elite regards the 'backward' residue of humanity as little more than a mass of infinitely malleable 'human material' that it can use to fill its bank accounts, to serve its sexual perversities and to compensate for its existential crises. *La superclasse... domine à l'ère idéologique du néoliberalisme. Il n'est pas aisé de la définir : elle comporte évidemment les managers des grandes entreprises mondiales, les directeurs des grandes banques, de cheiks du pétrole ou des décideurs politiques voire quelques vedettes du cinéma ou de la littérature ou encore, en coulisses, des leaders religieux et des narcotrafiquants, qui alimentent le secteur bancaire en argent sale. Cette superclasse n'est pas stable : on y appartient pendant quelques années ou pendant une ou deux décennies puis on en sort, avec, un bon 'parachute doré'. ...[N]umériquement insignifiante mais bien plus puissante que les anciennes aristocraties ou partitocraties, elle est totalement coupée des masses, dont elle détermine le destin. En dépit de tous les discours démocratiques, qui annoncent à cor et à cri l'avènement d'une liberté et d'une équité inégalées, le poids politique/économique des masses, ou des peuples, n'a jamais été aussi*

réduit. Son projet 'globalitaire' ne peut donc pas recevoir le label de 'démocratique'. 'The "superclass" ... dominates the era of neo-liberal ideology. It is not easy to define it: it is most clearly composed of the managers of the great multinationals, the directors of the great banks, the oil sheikhs [and some prominent] political leaders, but [it also includes] some movie stars, intellectuals and 'spiritual gurus'. Aside from these, [it also includes] a much more opaque number of [mafia bosses and] drug barons who feed its banking branch with 'black money'. The 'superclass' is far from stable: it is possible to belong to it for some years or decades, and then to drop out of it again - mostly with a 'golden parachute'. ...Numerically, it is small, but it is more powerful than any of the aristocracies and partitocracies that preceded throughout all of [recorded] human history.[13] Despite a [public] discourse that continually speaks about a glorious dawn of unprecedented freedom and equality, the [real] political [and] economic weight of the masses has never been so small before. Thus, the globalist project [that is now pursued by the "superclass"] cannot be qualified as 'democratic' in any meaningful way.] (291)

The globalist hostile elite *instrumentalizes* American military power and political influence: it uses *American* power and influence to further its own *globalist* agenda. It abuses American prestige, American wealth and American lives - this is the deepest reason for the anti-globalist and nationalist 'populist' backlash that brought Donald Trump into the White House. But the hostile elite operates *above* and *behind* formal institutions such as the presidency: in America, real power is largely exempt from institutional control and democratic correction. Real power resides in the 'Washington swamp', the 'lying press' and the 'deep state' - they determine policy; it is to fight these monsters that the American people chose Donald Trump to be its president. The monstrous power of the hostile elite, however, is so great that the public sphere is still dominated by Trump's enemies, even two years after his election victory. The terrible anger and open sabotage with which the hostile elite responds to Trump is understandable: in the final analysis, the fate of the globalist hostile elite depends on its control over American military and political assets. The hostile elite needs to maintain its control over America's monetary supply, America' armed forces and America's diplomatic network if it wants to maintain the international geopolitical chaos on which its financial interests and ideological chimaeras thrive.

The most pressing geopolitical concern of the hostile elite and the reason it needs absolute control over America is the permanent subjugation of its potentially most dangerous enemy: Europe. The deadly danger to nihilist and rootless globalism posed by Europe resides in its combination of technological-industrial/social-economic capacity with authentic cultural-historical rootedness/ethnicity-based identity. After the collapse of the Soviet Union the task of keeping Europe in subjugation to globalism, previously shared between the two superpowers, devolved on the United States alone. The globalist strategy to achieve this task started out twofold: the globalist hostile elite enforced a permanent weakening of newly re-united Germany (through formal sovereignty limits and 'monetary union' tribute payments to France) and it expanded American military presence eastward (through NATO enlargement). This double strategy, however proved problematic as a long-term solution to the 'European Question': given America's many global commitments, its military presence throughout all of Europe constitutes

---

[13] 'Partitocracy' is here defined as the political 'hostage-taking' of parliamentary institutions by Liberal-Normativist interest groups and party-cartels. It is the mechanism behind 'Politicide', i.e. the historical destruction of political plurality and the ideological imposition of dogmatic political-correctness ('public consensus' in '1984'-style).

a considerable liability that forces it into grotesque deficit spending and risqué diplomaticbrinkmanshiIts centrepiece, the 'containment' of Germany, is also proving to be more problematic than previously thought: even the burdens of German unification (from 1990) and European single-currency liability (from 1999) have not been able to slow down the German social-economic motor. Quite the opposite has happened: EU expansion into the former Eastern Bloc (from 2004) is raising the old spectre of a German-led semi-autarkic geopolitical bloc. The prevention of such a *Mitteleuropa* project was the main aim of the Balkan 'thwarting' policy of the Entente powers in the early 20th Century: in the summer of 1914 it finally provoked the Central powers into starting the First World War. This geopolitical 'larger picture' provides an entirely different perspective on phenomena such as the 'Financial Crisis' of 2008, which started in America but led Europe into the crippling 'European Debt Crisis' of 2009, and the 'Arab Spring' of 2011, which led to the 'European Migration Crisis' of 2015.

This perspective is best formulated by Steuckers himself: *La globalisation, c'est... le maintien de l'Europe, et de l'Europe seule, en état de faiblesse structurelle permanente. Et cette faiblesse structurelle est due, à la base, à un déficit éthique entretenu, à un déficit politique et culturel. Il n'y a pas d'éthique collective, de politique viable ou de culture féconde sans que Machiavel et les anciens Romains, auxquels le Florentin se référait, appelaient des 'vertus politiques', le terme 'vertu' n'ayant pas le sens stupidement moraliste qu'il a acquis, mais celui, latin, de 'force agissante', de 'force intérieure agissante'...* 'Globalization means this: ...the maintenance of Europe - and only Europe - in a permanent state of structural weakness. In the final analysis, this weakness is due to a permanent 'ethical deficit' [that translates into] a political and cultural deficit. Collective ethics, viable politics [and] fruitful cultures are impossible without what Machiavelli, and the ancient Romans on whom the Florentine based hi[s thought], termed the 'political virtues' - a phrase in which the meaning of the word 'virtue' does not have the short-sighted moralistic charge that it has attracted recently, but rather the [original] Latin [meaning] of 'acting force' and 'inner guiding force'.' (279-80) Steuckers correctly points to the 'ethical deficit' of Europe as being imposed by globalist cognitive warfare, resulting in Europe's debilitating lack of purpose and willpower. This deficit prevents psycho-historical catharsis, geopolitical assertiveness and decisionist self-defence. It renders Europe helpless in the face of acute existential threats such as the social implosion, mass-immigration and jihadist terror that are deliberately fostered by its enemies. This globalist 'anti-European' Europe is realized in the internalization of the cognitively dissonant globalist 'mainstream media' discourse of self-destructively interpreted 'human rights', 'multiculturality' and 'diversity'. *L'arme principale qui est dirigée contre l'Europe est donc un 'écran moralisateur', à sens unique, légal et moral, composé d'images positives, de valeurs dites occidentales et d'innocences prétendues menacées, pour justifier des campagnes de violence politique illimitée.* 'The main weapon employed against Europe is the uniquely 'moralist [television, computer and telephone] screen' that [is imposing specific] legal and moral 'values' [through] the positive 'frame' of so-called 'Western values' and supposedly 'threatened innocence' by justifying a [systematic] campaign of endless political terrorism.' (281)

Everywhere across Europe this globalist discourse is entirely internalized and primarily represented by the *soixante-huitard* generation that achieved a power monopoly in the wake of its 'long march through the institutions'. *Pendant les années de leur traversée du désert, ...les [utopistes]de [la] génération soixante-huitard] feront... un 'compromis historique' qui repose, ...premièrement, sur un abandon du corpus gauchiste, libertaire et émancipateur, au profit des*

thèses néolibérales, deuxièmement, sur une instrumentalisation de l'idée freudo-sartienne de la 'culpabilité' des peuples européens, responsables de toutes les horreurs commises dans l'histoire, et troisièmement, sur un pari pour toutes les démarches 'mondialisatrices', même émanant d'instances capitalistes non légitimées démocratiquement ou d'institution comme la Commission Européenne, championne de la 'néolibéralisation' de l'Europe, dont le pouvoir n'est jamais sanctionné par une élection. 'During their years in the desert... the [utopists] of the ['68] generation... made a 'historical compromise' that is based... on [three complementary strategies]: (1) an [abandonment and] betrayal of their [core] leftist ideology of freedom and emancipation in favour of neo-liberalism, (2) a [political] application of the Freudian-Sartrean notion of the 'guilt' of the European peoples, [who are held] responsible for all crimes in history and (3) an adherence to 'globalizing' processes - even [if those processes] are driven by undemocratic and illegitimate capitalist powers of institutions such as the European Commission, [which has become] the champion of the 'neo-liberalisation' of Europe and which has never received a democratic mandate.'[14] (293) This ideological betrayal and this globalist collaboration, now the standard modalities of the European hostile elite, have brought European civilization to the brink of the abyss.

Steuckers points to the *functionality* of the treason of the European *soixante-huitards* in the larger framework of globalist geopolitics: this treason delivers Europe into the hands of a de facto 'monster pact' between two quintessentially anti-European globalist forces: *liberal-normativism*, as symbolized by American 'Puritanism', and *islamism*, symbolized by Saudi 'Wahhabism'. *Aujourd'hui, nous faisons face à l'alliance calamiteuse de deux fanatismes religieux : le wahhabisme, visibilisé par les médias, chargé de tous les péchés, et le puritanisme américain, camouflé derrière une façade 'rationnelle' et 'économiste' et campé comme matrice de la 'démocratie' et de toute 'bonne gouvernance'. Que nous ayons affaire à un fanatisme salafiste ou hanbaliste qui rejette toutes les synthèses fécondes, génératrice et façonneuses d'empires, qu'elles soient byzantino-islamiques ou irano-islamisées ou qu'elles se présentent sous les formes multiples de pouvoir militaire équilibrant dans les pays musulmans, ou que nous ayons affaire à un fanatisme puritain rationalisé qui entend semer le désordre dans tous ces états de la planète, que ces états soient ennemis ou alliés, parce que ces états soumis à subversion ne procèdent pas de la même matrice mentale, nous constatons que toutes nos propres traditions européennes... sont considérées par ces fanatismes contemporains d'au-delà de l'Atlantique ou d'au-delà de la Méditerranée comme émanations du Mal, comme des filons culturels à éradiquer pour retrouver une très hypothétique pureté, incarnée jadis par les pèlerins du 'Mayflower' ou par les naturels de l'Arabie du VIIIe siècle.* 'In the contemporary world we are facing a disastrous [globalist, anti-European] alliance between two religious fanatisms: Wahhabism,[15] which is visualized as the scapegoat ['bad cop'] in the [mainstream]

---

[14] The European Commission consists (after 'Brexit') of 27 *unelected* 'Commissioners' (mark the nomenclature similarity with the old Soviet 'Commissars') that are *nominated* by the member state governments and that have an absolute executive power, aside from their monopoly on legislation initiative and a strong control over the European judiciary. Thus, the European Commission, headed by former Luxembourgian PM Jean-Claude Juncker since 2014, effectively has dictatorial powers, even if it chooses to use this power in an almost exclusively *negative sense* through its institutional deference to *laissez-faire* neo-liberalism and 'open borders' cultural-marxism. In theory, the European Parliament has the power to veto Commission nominees and to dismiss the encumbant Commission, but in practice, these prerogatives are dead letter shams: appointments are exercises in consensus politics and dismissals are blocked by parliamentary sabotage. A further 'democratic deficit' is found in the fact that the turn-out for the elections of the supposedly 'controlling' European Parliament is structurally lower than 50%.

media - and American puritanism, which is portrayed as a stable rational and economist reference frame ['good cop'] that provides 'democracy' and 'good governance'. But such [fanatisms] are [entirely] incompatible with our own European traditions. This is not only true for ['Wahhabism' and its] 'Hanbalite and 'Salafist' [fellow-traveller] fanatisms[16] that are incompatible with the fertile, creative and imperial syntheses characteristic of [Traditional Islam, such as] Byzantine Islam and Persian Islam, but it is also true for the puritanically rationalized and militarily enforced [America-based] fanatism that is [now] creating chaos throughout the entire world (because all other cultural circles, irrespective of their allied or enemy status, necessarily represent incompatible mental worlds). To the fanatics [that face Europe] across the Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea, [all of Europe's authentic traditions] represent incarnations of Evil [pure and simple]: they represent mental worlds that they will fight to the death for the sake of their - highly hypothetical - purity, as modelled on the 'Pilgrim Fathers' [of the 17th Century] *Mayflower*[17] and the *bons sauvages*[18] of the 8th Century Arabian Desert.' (261-2)

The totalitarian-regressive fanatisms of 'Puritanical' liberal-normativism and 'Wahhabist' islamism have to be overcome emotionally, intellectually and spiritually if European civilization and the European peoples are to survive the Crisis of the Modern West. At this critical juncture, the therapy that Traditionalism can recommend as having the greatest chance of success is the ultimate political-philosophical 'emergency option': Archaeo-Futurism.

The Archaeo-Futurist Alternative

---

[15] Wahhabism, named after Sunni Islamic religious leader and reformer Mohammed al-Wahhad (1703-92), is a fundamentalist and iconoclastic doctrinal movement within the Hanbali School. The use of the term 'Wahhabi' as a reference to a follower of this movement is mostly restricted to its opponents: its supporters prefer to use terms such as *muwahhid* ('monotheist') or Salafist. Wahhabism is characterized by a militant and even aggressive purism that is realized in regressive social practices within its community and institutional intolerance to the outside world. In contemporary geopolitics, the historically pragmatic alliance between the Wahhabite clergy and the Saudi Royal House results in phenomena such an 'Al-Qaida' and the 'Islamic State'.

[16] Salafism (*salaf*, 'predecessors, forefathers', concrete: the first three generations of religious authorities in Islam) is a Sunni-Islamic religious reform movement that was inspired by 18th Century Wahhabism, originating in the 19th Century Egypt resistance movement against the social effects of Western imperialism. Although the whole Salafist movement opposes Western-style secularism and democracy, only a small minority of its followers (the so-called 'Jihadists') supports an armed 'holy war' to achieve the Salafist aims of social reform. The Hanbali *madhab* ('ethical code', concrete: doctrinal 'school'), which was founded by Ahmad ibn-Hanbal (780-855), is the smallest of the four legal traditions of Sunni Islam – its dominance as a religious and social code is limited to the Arabic Peninsula (where it has been incorporated in public law in Saudi-Arabia and Qatar). The Hanbali School is characterized by an effective rejection of *ijma'* ('specialist consensus') and *ijtihad* ('mental effort') and a very restrictive application of *qiyas* ('deductive analogy') in Islamic legal practice. The social conservatism and financial power of the Gulf States have made the Hanbali School an attractive ideological base line for islamist extremists.

[17] The 'Pilgrim Fathers' were the English (mostly radical-Calvinist) religious dissidents who went into exile in the Dutch Republic in 1609 before emigrating to North America, where they founded Plymouth Colony (which was later absorbed into the Massachusetts Bay Colony). They are regarded as the founding fathers of the American nation (here 'classically' defined as 'White Anglo-Saxon Protestant') and as the instigators of the American Thanksgiving Day tradition (which may have been shaped by their memory of the Leyden October Festival celebrating the lifting of the Leyden Siege in 1584).

[18] The concept of the 'noble savage', supposedly still 'uncorrupted' by the globalist-imperialist expansion of 'unnatural' Western civilization, was an integral part of 18th Century Enlightenment thought. The term is widely but wrongly attributed to the French philosopher Jean-Jacques Rousseau (1712-78). Rousseau's work did provide a prominently 'proto-oikophobic' twist to the older notion of 'romantic primitivism', which is, in fact, archetypal (cf. the 'Enkidu' character in the Gilgamesh Epic). For an Archaeo-Futurist re-interpretation of the Enlightenment motive of the 'noble savage', cf. Wolfheze, *Sunset*, 318ff.

Lo, all our pomp of yesterday
Is one with Nineveh and Tyre!
Judge of the Nations, spare us yet.
Lest we forget - lest we forget!
- Rudyard Kipling

The Archaeo-Futurist alternative for the globalist anti-European 'EU project' is based on a simultaneous *retrograde* recovery and *forward* projection of a Traditionalist concept that has long played a vital role in European history and may do so again: the *European Imperial Idea*. This is an idea that is strictly speaking *supra-historical* and can, therefore, be reactivated at any given point in history. The ideological abuse and historiographical misinterpretation of the European Imperial Idea in 19th and 20th Century (hyper-)nationalism - most recently in the 'Third Reich' - does not invalidate its supra-historical vitality. In this regard, Steuckers points to the vital importance of a *correct* understanding of the larger Traditionalist framework in which the Imperial Idea functions. Traditionalism states that all collective (linguistic, religious, ethnic, national) identities and the horizontally (worldly, physically) experienced differences between them are potentially organic parts of *larger*, synergetically unique entities with a *higher*, vertical, and transcendentally (spiritually, psychologically) experienced functionality. This entity can be identified as *Imperium* (German: *Reich*) - in the Western Tradition it derives its legitimacy from the ancient Roman Empire. Its numinous character becomes obvious from the fact that its mere mention conjures up a feeling of awe among those that naturally belong to it - and that it inspires a feeling of fear among those that are unworthy of it.

Pour résumer brièvement la position traditional[iste],... disons que les horizontalités modernes ne permettent pas le respect de l'Autre, de l'être-autre. Si l'Autre est jugé dérangeant, inopportun dans son altérité, il peut être purement et simplement éliminé ou mis au pas, sans le moindre respect de son altérité, car l'horizontalité fait de tous des 'riens ontologiques', privés de valeur intrinsèque. Tel est l'aboutissement de la logique égalitaire, propre des idéologies et des systèmes qui ont voulu usurper et éradiquer la tradition 'reichique' : si tout vaut tout dans l'intériorité de l'homme, ou même dans sa constitution physique, cela signifie, finalement, que plus rien n'a de valeur spécifique, et si une valeur spécifique cherche à pointer envers et contre tout, elle sera vite considérée comme une anomalie qui appelle l'extermination. L'intervention fanatique et sanglante de 'colonnes infernales'. La verticalité, en revanche, implique le devoir de protection et de respect, un devoir de servir les supérieurs et un devoir des supérieurs de protéger les inférieurs, dans un rapport comparable à celui qui existe, dans les sociétés et les familles traditionnelles, entre parents et enfants. La verticalité respecte les différences ontologiques et culturelles ; elle ne les considère pas comme des 'riens' qui ne méritent ni considération ni respect. 'To summarize the traditionalist perspective... it may be said that modern[ist] horizontality impedes a[ny true] respect for [what is] Other and Different. When the Other-ness of what is Different is judged to be [mere] 'interference' and 'inconvenience', than it can be simply eliminated or ignored without the least respect for [its] Other-ness: [thus], modernist horizontality reduces all [forms of authentic] identity to 'ontological nullities' without intrinsic value. This is the [inevitable] end result of the egalitarian logic that shapes ideologies and systems that aim at supplanting and erasing the imperial tradition. When everything is assumed to depend exclusively on human [subjective value], or even exclusively

on [individual] human physical [existence], then nothing of specifically [objective] value remains. When any specific value points in a different [non-egalitarian] direction against the [perceived 'common good'], then it is quickly identified as an 'aberration' that needs to be eliminated. This [results] in the fanatic and bloody intervention of 'infernal columns'[19] [of modernist collectivism]. The principle of [Traditionalist] verticality, on the other hand, proceeds from a [reverse] duty: to protect and respect [the Other]. [This implies] the duty of [the commoners] to serve those set above them, and the duty of the higher-ranked to protect the lower-ranked, in a relationship that can be compared to that of parents and children in traditional communities and families. This verticality respects ontological differences and the cultural [expressions of these differences]: it does not reduce them to '[ontological] nullities' unworthy of consideration and respect.' (157)

Thus, the Traditionalist Imperial Idea implies a holistic vision in which all collective and individual [authentic] identities are organically fitted into a larger entity of synergetic 'added value'. *Il faut enfin... que chaque communauté et chaque individu aient conscience qu'ils gagnent à demeurer dans l'ensemble impéria[ux]au lieu de vivre séparément. Tâche éminemment difficile qui souligne la fragilité des édifices impériaux : Rome a su maintenir un tel équilibre pendant les siècles, d'où la nostalgie de cet ordre jusqu'à nos jours. ...[L]a* civitas *de l'origine... de l'*Urbs*, la Ville initiale de l'histoire impériale, ...s'est étendue à l'*Orbis romanus*. Le citoyen romain dans l'empire signale son appartenance à cet* Orbis*, tout en conservant sa* natio *et sa* patria*, appartenance à telle nation ou telle ville de l'ensemble constitué par l'*Orbis. 'In the final analysis, it is necessary... that every community and every individual realizes that it stands to benefit more from its allegiance to the imperial entity than from a separate existence. [This requires] a difficult balancing act, underlining the vulnerability of [all] imperial projects: for centuries, Rome managed to maintain such a balance - hence the nostalgic longing for the [Roman] order that pervades [Europe] up to today. ...[As the Roman Empire grew], the original *civitas*... of the ancestral *Urbs*, [i.e.] the City from which imperial history unfolded, ...grew into an *Orbis romanus*. In that empire, Roman citizenship meant identification with that *Orbis*, even if [citizens] still belonged to a particular *natio* and a particular *patria*, [i.e.] even if [they permanently] retained [their] specific nationality and fatherland within the [larger] *Orbis*.' (129-31) *D'abord, il faut préciser que le 'Reich' n'est pas une nation, même s'il est porté, en théorie, par un* populus *(le* populus romanus*) ou une 'nation' (la* deutsche Nation*) : ...[c'est] n'est pas [une chose] nationaliste, [c'est] même [une chose] anti-nationaliste. [I]l n'a rien contre les sentiments d'appartenance nationale, contre la fierté d'appartenir à une nation. De tels sentiments sont positifs... mais doivent être transcendés par une idée. Cette transcendance conduit à une verticalité, qui oppose à toutes les formes modernes d'horizontalité, ce qui est, par ailleurs, le noyau idéel, de toutes les traditions...* 'Above all, it should be made clear that an 'Empire' is no nation, even if it is theoretically carried by a [particular] *populus* ([i.e. a 'people' such as] the *populus romanus*) or a [particular] nation ([i.e. a 'nation' such as] the *deutsche Nation*): ...[the Empire] is not nationalist, [it is, in fact,] anti-nationalist. It does not oppose the [collective] nationalist sentiment or the [individual] pride that [rightly] derives from national identity. Such sentiments are positive [in themselves]... but they should be surpassed by the [still higher imperial] idea. This transcendence determines a

---

[19] A reference to the semi-genocidal pacification campaign of early 1794 that was ordered by the Jacobin Republican regime in the aftermath of the War in the Vendée - it combined the strategies of scorched earth and ethnic cleansing and claimed the lives of up to 40.000 civilians.

vertical direction that opposes all modern forms of horizontality. In the final analysis, this [verticality] constitutes the ideal core of all [authentic T]raditions.' (156-7)

The practical combination of collective and individual identities is realised in the political application of the Traditionalist principle of *subsidiarity*, a late trace of which can still be detected in the Dutch anti-revolutionary principle of 'sphere sovereignty'[20]). *...[L]e principe de 'subsidiarité', tant évoqué dans l'Europe actuelle mais si peu mis en pratique, renoue avec un respect impérial des entités locales, des spécificités multiples que recèle le monde vaste et diversifié.* '...The principle of 'subsidiarity', often claimed by seldom practised in contemporary Europe, can provide [a new] imperial[ly legitimate] respect for the [many] local communities [and] specific identities that are found in the real world of great [authentically-rooted] diversity.' (139)

In relation to the Imperial Idea, 'identity politics', 'multiculturality' and 'diversity' are effectively reduced to 'non-issues': they are organically resolved by - and dissolved in - a sublimation into the higher functionality of the Empire. *L'empire est donc fait de multiplicités, de différences, qui n'ont rien de commun avec la fausse multiculturalité vantée par les médias d'aujourd'hui. Cette multiculturalité, escroquerie idéologique, relève justement de cette horizontalité qui vise à vider tous les hommes, autochtones et allochtones, de leur substance ontologique. Cette multiculturalité tue l'essentiel qui vit en l'homme. Toute politique qui cherche à la promouvoir est une politique criminelle, exterministe...* 'Thus, an Empire is based on [necessarily complex] pluralities and diversities that have nothing in common with the counterfeit 'multiculturality' currently promoted by the [main stream] media. This [fake] multiculturality represents an ideological deception that is based on [modernist] horizontality and that is meant to deprive all people - indigenous as well as non-indigenous - of their ontological substance. [That perverse kind of] multiculturality kills the essence that animates humanity. [Any form of] politics that aids and abet [this counterfeit multiculturality] constitutes criminal - and ethnocidal - politics...' (158) It is ironic that the Traditionalist concepts of the Imperial Idea and the Imperial Community provide much more tolerance and much more freedom than any kind of modernist 'diversity' and 'democracy' ever could.

Sacrum Imperium
(neo-imperial therapy)

Hier die Ma[h]nen hehrer Krieger
Seien euch ein Musterbild
Führen euch vom Kampf als Sieger
'May the memory of the fearsome warriors
who fought before you, here inspire you
and lead you to glorious victory in battle.'
- Joseph Hartmann Stuntz[21]

---

[20] The concept of *soevereiniteit in eigen kring*, or 'sphere sovereignty', stipulates differentiated authority and responsibility and is a core element of Neo-Calvinist political theory - it was important in the policies of Dutch statesman Abraham Kuyper.
[21] Text written for the occasion of the ceremonial opening of the Bavarian Walhalla Memorial (1842).

Western civilization is based on a vulnerable balance of complementary authentic identities that obtain synergetic 'added value' in a variety of historical interactions. This 'added value' can be expressed in the 'hyper-boreal' archetypes of *Techne*, technological liberation, *Nomos*, judicial liberation and *Evangelion*, spiritual liberation (cf. Wolfheze, *Alba Rosa*, 112ff). But this 'added value', and the ethnicities on which it is based, require constant protection and guarding - this is the basis of the Traditionalist European Imperial Idea. *En Europe, les structures de type impérial sont... une nécessité, afin de maintenir la cohérence de l'aire civilisationnelle européenne, dont la culture a jailli du sol européen, afin que tous les peuples au sein de cette aire civilisationnelle, organisée selon les principes impériaux, puissent avoir un avenir.* 'In Europe, structures of the imperial type... are indispensable for the cohesion of the European civilization sphere, which is grounded in the European soil - and for the future of the peoples that are indigenous to that sphere. [The maintenance of that cohesion requires] the organization of that sphere on imperial principles.' (214) A simultaneously idealistic and realistic - Archaeo-Futurist - reconsideration of the European Imperial Idea is essential for the protection of the European peoples and their common civilization. The extension of the European Imperial Idea to include the overseas peoples of European descent is a logical next step: this step has already been Archaeo-Futuristically explored in the concept of a 'Boreal Alliance' (Faye). At a global level, such an alliance would find natural allies in the other two Indo-European Imperial Ideas: Persia and India - an Archaeo-Futurist exploration of this theme can be found in Jason Jorjani's concept of the 'World State of Emergency'. The alternative geopolitics that is required to do justice to these Archaeo-Futurist visions is already the object of concrete study in the anti-globalist Neo-Eurasianist movement (cf. Chapter 11 of Wolfheze, *Rupes Nigra*).

Traditionalism is tasked with the defence of the collective 'Higher Vocation' of the European peoples whenever it faces a serious threat (cf. Wolfheze, *Alba Rosa*, 200ff). Steuckers acts on this obligation by restating the Traditionalist vision of Europe: *L'Europe, c'est une perception de la nature comme épiphanie du divin... L'Europe, c'est également une mystique du devenir et de l'action... L'Europe, c'est une vision du cosmos où l'on constate l'inégalité factuelle de ce qui est égal en dignité ainsi qu'une pluralité de centres... [C'est] une nouvelle vision de l'homme, impliquant la responsabilité pour l'autre, pour l'écosystème, parce que, ... sur [s]es bases philosophiques, ...l'homme... est un collaborateur de Dieu et un* miles imperii, *un soldat de l'empire. Le travail n'est plus malédiction ou aliénation mais bénédiction et octroi d'un surplus de sens au monde. La technique est service à l'homme, à autrui... La construction de l'Europe... nécessite de revitaliser une 'citoyenneté d'action', où l'on retrouve la notion de l'homme coauteur de la création divine et l'idée de responsabilité.* '"Europe", [as a Traditionalist concept,] is a vision in which the natural world is treated as Divine Epiphany... [Such a] Europe is a mystery of becoming and enacting... [Such a] Europe is a cosmic vision that recognizes the factual inequality of all things as well as their equality in dignity - and [that validates cultural-historical and geo-political] multipolarity... [This] new vision of humanity implies a responsibility for [all that] is different and for the entire [natural and human] ecosystem because... at its philosophical [this vision establishes] ...every man as a *collaborator* of God - [as] a *miles imperii*, a soldier of the [divinely instituted] Empire. Thus, work no longer represents a curse [which is described in the Bible in Genesis 3:17] or alienation [which is described in Marx' theory of *Entfremdung*], but a blessing as a duty regarding a [higher sense of] responsibility for [all of creation]. Technology serves man in his work - [also] for the benefit of the other... (Wolfheze, *Alba Rosa*, 55) The construction of Europe... demands a new 'activist citizenship' that is based upon the idea of man as a co-worker in the Divine Creation - and upon

the idea of a [cosmic] responsibility that is rooted in authentic identity and vocation.' (138-9). It is clear that the Higher Vocation of the European peoples does not stop at the geographical borders of the European subcontinent: it is retained by the European peoples that have moved across these boundaries to dominate the boreal and austral regions overseas.

Inwardly, this Higher Vocation requires individual self-discipline, individual work ethic and individual acceptance of hierarchical order - and therefore a radical reversion of the narcissist, hedonist and collectivist existential modality that is fostered and maintained by the liberal-normativism that dominates the postmodern West. This requires a transition to a new (or re-newed) existential condition, dominated by authentic norms and values - and by a legitimate Authority. In the European Tradition, which is based on a Roman archetype, this Authority bears the title 'Caesar' - Emperor.[22] *Dans la conception [traditionaliste] hiérarchique des êtres et des fins terrestres... l'empire constituait le sommet, l'exemple impassable pour tous les autres ordres inférieurs de la nature. De même, l'empereur, également au sommet de cette hiérarchie par la vertu de sa titulaire, doit être un exemple pour tours les princes du monde, non pas en vertu de son hérédité, mais de supériorité intellectuelle, de son connaissance ou des ses connaissances. Les vertus impériales sont justice, vérité, miséricorde et constance...* 'In the [Traditionalist] vision of the hierarchy of creatures and purposes... the Empire represents the highest aim, the unrivalled example for all lower natural orders. This means that the emperor, who stands at the apex of this hierarchy on the basis of his title, provides an example for all [other] worldly princes - not on the grounds of his [earthly] descent but [on the grounds] of his intellectual superiority and of his abilities and insights. [In him,] the imperial '[political] virtues' of justice, truth, mercy and stability [are realized]...' (136) Obviously, a recognizably legitimate Authority is difficult to imagine in the present European context, but still, the archetype of this Authority is indispensable as a fixed point of reference. To a certain extent, the same applies to the Imperial Idea as such: within the present-day discourse of political philosophy, the concept is primarily meant as a *thought experiment* that allows the Real Right movement to chart a new course towards a new destination. In the same way that the 'Kingdom of Heaven' embodies the Higher Vocation of Christianity, thus the Imperial Idea embodies the Higher Vocation of European civilization - even if the ideal has not yet been tangibly realized in the here and now. Thus, the old Traditionalist Imperial Idea can serve as a reference point for a new Archaeo-Futurist Imperial Idea. Here too, the hierarchical political philosophy of Neo-Eurasianism can serve as a bridge.

Outwardly, any Traditionalist Imperial Idea requires collective self-identification, collective pride and collective dedication - to the point of supreme self-sacrifice. In this regard, it is important to emphasize that the Imperial Idea, as it is defended by the highest command authority, has a *positive* relation to the various authentic identities that are protected by the Empire through subsidiary guarantees: it literally has 'added value'. Thus, a Traditionalist definition of a European - or even Western - Empire does not diminish specific linguistic, religious, cultural and ethnic identities that it contains: it merely adds an *extra* identity, viz. a *European* - or even *Western* - identity. This identity is *not* dominant in an inward sense (i.e. in citizens' *self- representation* on the individual level), but it *is* dominant in an outward sense: to

---

[22] In the European Tradition the *Imperator*, representing the highest command authority of the Empire, carries the honorary title 'Caesar' in tribute to the cognomen of Roman dictator Gaius Julius (100-44 v. Chr.). One of the historical etymologies of this cognomen assumes a link to a North African word for 'elephant' (much of Caesar's coinage depicts an elephant).

the outside world it represents a *collective will*. This implies that, to the outside world, the Empire represents an *absolute standard* that must be expressed in *physical boundaries*. Thus, the liberal-normative delusion of globalist 'universal values' and 'open borders' are entirely incompatible with effective maintenance of the classical norms of civilization that are incarnated in the Traditionalist Imperial Idea. *L'empire se conçoit comme un ordre, entouré d'un chaos menaçant, niant par là même que les autres puissent posséder eux-mêmes leur ordre ou qu'il ait quelque valeur. Chaque empire s'affirme plus ou moins comme le monde essentiel, entouré de mondes périphériques réduits à des quantités négligeables. L'hégémonie universelle concerne seulement "l'univers qui vaut quelque chose". Rejeté dans les ténèbres extérieures, le reste est une menace dont il faut se protéger.* 'The Empire conceives of itself as an order that is surrounded by threatening chaos [and in doing so] it must effectively deny that other [civilizations] may have their own order of [equal] intrinsic value. To a certain extent, every Empire views itself as a 'world on its own', surrounded by 'peripheral worlds': these 'other worlds' are reduced to negligible entities.[23] Universal hegemony exclusively applies to the 'valuable universe' [that is one's *own*]. The rest [of reality] is intellectually and psychologically rejected [and thrust] into the Outer Dark: it is reduced to a threat that should be defended against.' (129) In Traditionalist symbolism, the self-contained *exclusiveness* of this Neo-Imperialist vision is represented by the 'Guardian of the Threshold' (cf. Chapter 8 of Wolfheze, *Rupes Nigra*).

Ex Oriente Lux
(psycho-historical therapy)

Hail to our Prince!
We have searched the northwest winds for you
To you we offer our mortality
You are our Oath!
- freely inspired by 'Hereditary'

The effectiveness of any Archaeo-Futurist therapy for the psycho-historical self-mutilation of Western civilization depends on the re-discovery and the re-activation of its foundational archetypes (cf. Wolfheze, *Alba Rosa*, 209ff.). From a meta-historical perspective, the political experiment of the narrowly nationalist and hyper-biodeterminist 'Third Reich' represents a rather improvised attempt at re-activating of these archetypes. The (actually rather tenuous) association of the Traditionalist Imperial Idea with the 'Third Reich' and the European *Götterdämmerung* of 1945 effectively removed these archetypes from Western public discourse. Thus, the idealistic, knightly and ascetic existential models that are linked to these archetypes, as incarnated in the ancient vocations of Academy, Nobility and Church, lost their *raison d'être* - the utter decay of the West's academic, military and ecclesiastical institutions proves this point beyond a reasonable doubt. This psycho-historic *Untergang* has recently reached the point that anything that even vaguely refers to 'aristocratic', 'aryan' or 'masculine' quality is automatically considered 'subject' in the public sphere. Deep conditioning in

---

[23] This 'horizon' principle is a key component of the *Dasein* hermeneutics of German philosopher Martin Heidegger (1889-1976). For its recent reactivation in Archaeo-Futurism, cf. Jason Jorjani, *Prometheus and Atlas* and Wolfheze, *Alba Rosa*, 228ff.

matriarchal oikophobia and resentful feminization has destroyed the old Western institutions of Academy, Army and Church.

Even so, this process is far from irreversible - it may even be considered as an indispensable part of a purifying 'dialectic process'.[24] In such a process, an extreme negative polarity is a necessary precondition for any extreme discharge of positive energy. Thus, the 'deconstruction' of the improvised and superficial 'hyper-nationalist' and 'hyper-biodeterminist' ideology of the 'Third Reich' may, in fact, turn out to be a necessary precondition for a re-discovery and re-activation of the deepest archetypes of the Indo-European Tradition. The Archaeo-Futurist exploration of these deepest archetypes has started only recently, but the direction in which the new Golden Dawn of the West must be sought is already clear: - *ex oriente lux*. Jason Jorjani, the philosophical pioneer of the Archaeo-Futurist Revolution in the New World has already crossed the 'event horizon' of Western Modernity and he has already reported back on the civilizational outlines that are becoming visible in the first rays of what may be termed its coming 'Golden Dawn'. It is cannot be a coincidence that Robert Steuckers, the foreman of Traditionalism in the Low Countries, is pointing in the same direction. Both are pointing to the oldest Indo-European archetypes that have been preserved in the Persian Tradition - and both point to their imminent return to the West.

La catégorie d'hommes capables d'incarner un 'Reich' est née de la tradition persane, laquelle a été longtemps un 'Orient' (in modèle sur lequel on s''orientait')... Dans la tradition persane, il est question d'un 'hiver éternel', allusion plus que probable au début d'une ère glaciaire particulièrement rude, qui a surpris les premiers peuples européens dans leur habitat premier. Au moment où survient cet 'hiver éternel', un roi-héros, Rama, rassemble les tribus et les clans et se dirige, à leur tête, vers le sud, vers le Caucase, la Bactriane et la Perse (les hauts plateaux iraniens). Ce roi-héros fonde les castes, ou, plus exactement, les fonctions que George Dumézil étudiera ultérieurement. Après avoir mené son peuple à bonne destination, pour échapper aux rigueurs de cet 'hiver éternel', Rama se retire dans les montagnes. Cette figure héroïque et royale se retrouve dans les traditions avestique et védique où il s'appelle Yama ou Yima. Pour mener cette expédition et cette migration, Rama-Yama-Yima s'est servi de chevaux et de chars et a jeté ainsi les premiers principes d'organisation d'une cavalerie... Plus tard, Zarathoustra codifie les règles qui doit suivre chaque cavalier... La troupe de Zarathoustra, qui doit faire respecter son enseignement pratique, est armée de massues (la 'Clave' dans l'œuvre de Julius Evola). Au départ de la troupe des adeptes de Zarathoustra se forme la caste des guerriers, les Kshatriyas de la tradition indienne, une caste opérative ancrée dans le réel politique et géographique, qui domine la caste de prêtres, contemplative et moins encline à exercer sur elle-même une discipline rigoureuse. ...La figure iranienne de Sraosha, qui donnera le Saint-Michel de la tradition médiévale, évolue entre le ciel et la terre, c'est-à-dire entre l'idéal de la tradition et la réalité, va-et-vient qui postule une formation rigoureuse, à l'instar des disciples de Zarathoustra. Ceux-ci, au fur et à mesure que se consolide la tradition iranienne, sont formés à rendre claire leur pensée, à purifier leurs sentiments, à prendre conscience de leur devoir. Armés de ces trois principes cardinaux d'orientation, le disciple de Zarathoustra lutte contre Ahriman, incarnation du mal, c'est-à-dire de la déliquescence des sentiments, qui rend inapte à œuvrer constructivement et durablement dans le réel. Seul les chevaliers capables d'incarner

---

[24] A reference to the modern dialectic method ('thesis-antithesis-synthesis'), developed by German philosopher Friedrich Hegel (1770-1831) and 'operationalized' by German-Jewish political philosopher Karl Marx (1818-83).

cet idéal simple mais rigoureux se donneront un charisme, un rayonnement, une lumière, lakwarnah. Ils sont liés entre eux par un serment. (159-60) 'The category of people that is able to personify an Empire originates in the Persian tradition: this Oriental reference point has been a constant existential *orientation* point [for the West] throughout the ages. The Persian tradition speaks of an 'eternal winter', a concept that probably dates back to the beginnings of a particularly harsh Ice Age that struck the ancient European peoples in their original habitat. When the 'eternal winter' began, a heroic king [named] Rama assembled these peoples and tribes and he led them southward, towards the Caucasus, Bactria and Persia (the Iranian Highlands). This heroic kind was the founder of [their] castes, or, to be more precise: the [social-hierarchic] functionalities that were eventually reconstructed by Georges Dumézil.[25] After having saved his people from the hardships of the 'eternal winter', Rama retired into the mountains. This heroic and royal personality subsequently recurs in the Avestan and Vedic traditions, in which he is called Yama or Yima.[26] To achieve this mission and migration, Rama-Yama-Yima organized horses and wagons, providing the foundations of a cavalry organization... Later the rules that had to be followed by every mounted warrior, [or 'knight'], were codified by Zoroaster... The host of Zoroaster that obeyed his practical injunctions was armed with the mace (Julius Evola's *clava*).[27] [Subsequently,] Zoroaster's 'school' gave rise to the caste of the warriors - the Kshatriyas of Indian Tradition - that established itself in political [institutions] and geographical [holdings] and that came to predominate over a caste of the priests that was inclined to contemplation and rejection of over-rigorous discipline. ...The Iranian figure of Sraosha,[28] who re-appears in the Medieval [Western] Tradition as Saint Michael, is [constantly] realized [through re-birth and re-discover] between Heaven and Earth, i.e. between the Traditional ideal and [worldly] reality: [for aspiring knights] this 're-cycling' requires [a constantly maintained] rigorous schooling, as it was for the students of Zoroaster. [These are the people] who realize [and re-live] the Iranian Tradition in themselves by learning to cleanse their thought[s] and feelings and by becoming aware of their vocation. Armed with these three main principles of orientation, the student of Zoroaster engages in combat with Ahriman,[29] the personification of evil, which is primarily [defined as] the kind of emotional weakness that makes it impossible to act on reality in a constructive and durable manner. Only 'knights' who are able to realize this simple but rigorous ideal [in themselves], can achieve the charisma, the shining halo, the crown of light - the *khvarenah*.'[30] (159-60)

---

[25] A reference to French comparative linguist Georges Dumézil (1898-1986) who did pioneering research into archaic Indo-European myths and social structures, known for his 'Tri-functional Hypothesis' regarding the original Indo-European caste system.

[26] In later Persian language forms and in the *Shahnameh* (the national epics of Iran entitled 'The Book of Kings' and written by the poet Ferdowsi at the end of the 10th Century AD) this king is named Jamshid (meaning 'Shining Yama'), a mythical priest-king who is said to possess supernatural abilities and who has the charismatic character associated with the highest Katechon.

[27] Later, the Indo-European mace became a ceremonial staff (often covered with gold foil) as a power symbol for high office in all Traditions of the Ancient Near East and the Classical World. The symbolic meaning of the mace can be related (through cultural-anthropological analyses of structural oppositions) to that of the *sceptre* (political power), the *magic wand* (spiritual power) and the *baton* (military power).

[28] The Avestan name for one of the Zoroastrian Yazata's (deified principles 'worthy of worship' – the term is etymologically related to the Greek word ἅγιος 'holy'): this is the principle of 'Observance' which functions as an 'Archangel' and is symbolically associated with the mace of worldly power – in later Persian language forms it is known as *Sorush*.

[29] The Middle Persian name Ahriman finds its older equivalent in Avestan *Angra Mainyu*, 'Evil Spirit', the cosmic archenemy of the highest creator divinity Ahura Mazda. As God (Light, Truth, Order) and the devil (darkness, deceit, chaos) oppose each other in Christianity, thus Ahura Mazda and Angra Mainyu oppose each other in Zoroastrianism.

The Indo-European archetype that is able to realize the new Empire is above all the 'knight'. As stated earlier, the oldest expression of this archetype is preserved in the Persian Tradition: *...le modèle de la chevalerie perse... constitue... pour l'Europe un mode opératif sans égal, de type 'kshatriyaque'... [que] ne peut être pensé en dehors du projet de 'nouvelle chancellerie impériale européenne', énoncé par Carl Schmitt. Celui-ci a évoqué la nécessité de former une instance de ce type, après les catastrophes qui ont frappé l'Europe dans la première moitié du XXᵉ siècle et pour préparer la renaissance qui suivra l'assujettissement de notre sous-continent.* ...'for Europe... the model of Persian knighthood... represents... an unequalled modality of the 'kshatriyan' type... without which one cannot imagine the project proposed by Carl Schmitt: the 'new European imperial chancellery'. [Schmitt] pointed to the necessity of such an institution in the wake of the catastrophes that had struck Europe during the first half of the 20ᵗʰ Century: is in indispensable for the preparation of the rebirth that will follow the subjugation of our subcontinent.' (163 - cf Chapter 11) The chronicle of the Persian Tradition provides hope to the peoples of Europe: it shows how the oldest Indo-European archetypes can survive even the catastrophic immersion of alien subjugation, ethnic replacement and cultural regression. *...[Le] philosophe perse islamisé Sohrawardi, ...dépositaire de la sagesse iranienne originelle, s'insurge, avant la destruction de son pays par les Mongols, contre la bigoterie, le rationalisme étrique qui est son corollaire, et réclame le retour à une attitude noble, lumineuse, archangélique et michaëlienne, qui n'est rien de autre que la tradition perse/avestique des origines les plus lointaines. Sohrawardi réclame une révolte contre la caste des prêtres étriques, et, partant, contre toutes pensées et démarches impliquant des limitations stérilisantes. Cette attitude a toujours paru suspecte aux vastes de prêtres ou d'intellectuels, soucieux d'imposer des corpus figés aux populations qui leur étaient soumises, en Occident comme en Orient. Arthur de Gobineau... a été le premier... à attirer l'attention des Européens... sur le passé lumineux de la Perse antique, modèle plus fécond, à ses yeux, que la Grèce, trop intellectuelle et trop spéculative. Le modèle chevaleresque, dont les traces premières remontent à Rama et à Zarathoustra, induit une pratique de le maîtrise de soi, supérieure, pour Gobineau, à la spéculation intellectuelle des Athéniens. Et, de fait, quand la Perse a été laminée par les Mongols, l'islam tout entier a commencé à sombrer dans le déclin. Le fondamentalisme wahhabite est l'expression de cette décadence, dans la mesure où il est une réaction outrée, caricaturale, au déclin de l'islam, désormais privé de la grande Lumière de la Perse. Les pauvres simagrées wahhabites ne pouvant bien entendu jamais servir d''Orient'.* '...Even before the Mongol devastation of his country,[31] ...[the] 'islamicized' Persian philosopher Sohrawardi,[32] ...made a stance against the religious bigotry and superficial rationalism... - he demanded a return to the aristocratic, enlightened, angelic and michaelean [existential] attitude that is a characteristic of the original Persian tradition, which may be traced back to its oldest

---

[30] In the Christian Tradition, this charisma is symbolically expressed by means of an aureola, the halo effect that is associated with angels and saints. Modern science describes this phenomenon in neurological terms (as in relation to liminal phenomena such as epilepsy, synaesthesia and hallucination). For the historical context of the concept of the *khvarenah* cf. Jorjani, *World State of Emergency*, 153-92.

[31] A reference to the Mongol conquest of the Khwarazmian Empire (approximately equivalent with 'Persia' at that time) by Genghis Khan that started in 1219.

[32] Shihab ad-Din Yahya Sohrawardi (1154-91), one of Persia's Medieval 'Masters of Enlightenment', based his Illuminationism on the notion of *prisca theologia* – he was thus able to integrate pre-Islamic (philosophical concepts and) knowledge into his work. Esoteric symbolism and intellectual intuition pervade Sohrawardi's work, which came to have a substantial influence on Western Traditionalism through the translations and interpretations of Henri Corbin (1903-78) and Seyyed Hussayn Nasr (born 1933).

historical [sources in the] Avesta.[33] Thus, Sohrawardi represents a revolt against the [intellectually and spiritually] superficial priestly caste and against all ideologies and activisms that submit to sterilizing self-censorshiEverywhere and always, in the East as well as the West, such a stance is 'suspect' to the [time-serving] priestly and intellectual establishment that base themselves on a dogmatic[ally imposed] consensus. Arthur de Gobineau[34]...was one of the first [thinkers] who... drew the attention of Europe... to the luminous past of Ancient Persia: [he thought it] represented a much more fertile model than [Ancient] Greece, which to his mind was too much [focussed on] intellectual and speculative [endeavour]. The knightly model that can be traced back to Rama and Zoroaster was based on a self-disciplinary *praxis* that De Gobineau considered superior to the speculative intellectualism of Athens. In fact, it is true that the entire Islamic world sunk into [cultural] decay after Persia had been reduced to ashes [and rubble] by the Mongols. Wahhabist fundamentalism represents the [final] expression of this decadence: it represents a grotesque caricature of Islam after its greatest Persian light had been extinguished. The shallow arrogance of the Wahhabites can offer neither [East nor West] an existential "orientation".' (162) The specific spiritual modalities of the Persian Tradition - which can be unreservedly characterized as 'Aryan' in its *original* sense of the word - and their importance as a reference point for any future 'European Renaissance' will be investigated in Chapter 2.

Historically, the Indo-European archetypes that are at the core of the Persian Tradition have been transmitted to the West through various channels: through the [Hellenic] Empire of Alexander the Great, through the Crusaders, through Oriental Studies - and through the philosophy of the Traditional School (Steuckers, p.161ff). Their power resides in their *essence* - an essence that can be destroyed neither by pseudo-islamic cultural levelling, nor by pseudo-christian psychological regression, nor by cultural-nihilist intellectual deconstruction. Nietzsche overcame modern European nihilism in an authentic re-activation of the Indo-European archetype that is embodied in the Persian prophet Zoroaster. Nietzsche not only sought physical health in the High Alps, but he also sought spiritual health on this most rarefied top of the Indo-European Tradition - there he found the cure for nihilism.

wirf den Helden in deiner Seele nicht weg! Halte heilig deine höchste Hoffnung!
'do not reject the hero in your soul! Preserve the sanctity of your highest hope!'
- Also Sprach Zarathustra

Edelweiss-heit[35]
(Archaeo-Futurist medication)

Save a spot for me
Among the Edelweiss
- Danielle White

---

[33] As in Jason Jorjani's books *Prometheus and Atlas* and *The World State of Emergency*.
[34] Joseph Count de Gobineau (1816-82) was a palaeo-conservative French thinker who is often mentioned as the founder of late 19th and early 20th Century 'scientific racism'. During his diplomatic service in Persia, De Gobineau developed a life-long fascination with the philosophy, history and mysteries of the Persian Tradition.
[35] A contraction of the German words Edelweiss, for, and *Weisheit*, 'wisdom'.

Every quest for the highest good must start with transforming the lowest defect. Thus, the foundation of the Western Imperial Idea depends on the proper tending of the Western soil. The building of the larger Western Empire depends on the proper construction of the smallest Western houses.

'A better Netherlands starts in Small Houses!' - these were the words of His Majesty King Willem Alexander of the Netherlands in his Christmas speech of 2018. These simple words express a profound truth: the King refers to the fact that all greatness starts small - even the greatest journey begins with one small steFor the Dutch people, a New West and a New Europe must start with a New Netherlands. It must start even tinier: it must start in a new city, a new village, a new street - and a *new self*. A New West starts with the basic qualities that define Western-ness: self-discipline, labour ethics, natural hierarchy and future planning. With basic ethics that include family dedication and marital faithfulness, modest wage demands and conscientious work, appropriate respect for high birth and natural abilities, social space for artistic talent and scientific achievement, solidarity with less fortunate fellow-countrymen and ecological responsibility. Thus, the characteristic features of the contemporary West and its liberal-normative postmodernity - collective narcissism, consumer hedonism and artificial class struggle - are entirely incompatible with Western-ness. But Western-ness is also incompatible with undignified *reaction* to these phenomena: a New West can never be achieved by 'angry white men'. It can only be achieved - and maintained - by *happy white people*. It can only be achieved if it is built upon the positive energy of the indigenous European peoples - an ethnic collective that can only be historically defined as phenotypically 'white'. This positive charge can only develop through self-esteem: in this regard, the slogan 'it's ok to be white' is no more than a point of departure. This implies that not only European *men* but also European *women* should be able to positively experience their specific human identities as *Europeans* and as *women* - and to reject the artificially oikophobic and feminist 'class warfare' against European civilization and European men. It should be said that this New West - which must define itself as a *universal standard of civilization* - needs to reserve some space for a (limited number of) hard-working, well-behaved and well-intentioned (ex-colonial, inter-married, assimilated) non-Westerners, to the extent that they are able and willing to conform to the Western *Leitkultur*. This is an intrinsic feature of the Archaeo-Futurist Imperial Idea: it recognizes that the 'magnetic' effect of the authentic Western Tradition may result in an existentially regulating. i.e. *inwardly transformative*, attraction for gifted and talented *individuals* from other cultural circles. This effect, however, can never be assumed to automatically apply to entire ethnic collectives from other cultural circles: it requires an absolutely dominant *Leitkultur* that guarantees the high polar tension that is required for that inner transformation. The failure to impose that dominance is one of the main reasons for the catastrophe of liberal-normative 'multiculturalism'.

Steuckers points to the need for 'micro-re-territorializations' throughout the entire Western habitat, i.e. for a *Reconquista* of the West by means of a systematically planned and minutely exercised reclamation of the heritage of the West. Thus, for Steuckers too, the re-building of the larger Western Empire starts with the proper re-construction of the smallest Western houses: a New West starts with by re-living local traditions, regional cohesions and national identities. In the overseas Anglosphere, this requires a bottom-to-top reaffirmation of state rights and ethnostatist power devolution. In Europe, this requires the top-to-bottom rejection of hyper-nationalist *Einzelgang*, i.e. of nationalist projects such as Napoleon's and Hitler's. In Europe, a

people - or a few peoples - may very well be the 'chosen' carrier(s) of the Imperial Idea, but this implies *responsibility* rather than *superiority*. Accordingly, the construction of an Archaeo-Futurist New European Empire will have to recognize the natural central role of the German people (which was also the 'carrier nation' of the ancient Holy Roman Empire), as well as the need for a pragmatic anti-globalist alliance on the Franco-German-Russian axis. Given the fact that the globalist hostile elite prioritizes the 'pyro-political' destruction of the Franco-German space - as currently realized in the Macron-Merkel strategy of *Umvolkung à l'outrance* - the *Reconquista* of Europe will have to start from its natural geopolitical citadel, which is Russia. The anti-globalist liberation of Russia, initiated by President Putin, provides a natural 'fall back' position for a meta-political counteroffensive that 'rolls back' globalism from east to west. The confederative and multipolar vision of Eurasianism, as formulated by Aleksandr Dugin, provides a good starting point (cf. Leonid Savin, 'On Problems of European Sovereignty'). Once again the ancient adage holds true: *ex oriente lux*.

To conclude this chapter, it seems proper to support Steuckers' plea for micro-re-territorialization by elaborating the strategy he suggests in the European context. Such elaboration will be required if the globalist 'EU projects' implodes within the foreseeable future - a scenario that is increasingly conceivable. Such implosion will require the smaller states of Europe to immediately re-position themselves in a post-globalist New Europe: they will have to re-invent and re-orient themselves. Even states of modest size and modest weight, such as the three states currently occupying the Low Countries, may then gain a new lease of life. A New Europe will offer opportunities to regain lost state sovereignties, lost ethnic identities, lost national currencies and lost social welfare models. The collapse of the globalist 'EU project' and the disintegration of globalist control mechanisms will provide the smaller states of Europe with the freedom to develop themselves according to their particularities and unique characteristics.

At the micro-level this potential is illustrated by the smallest European states: in many regards, the microstates of San Marino, Andorra, Monaco and Liechtenstein have swum through the mazes of the globalist net. They have been able to optimally develop themselves in their own small biotopes without abandoning their identities. They have been far better able to defend the rights of their indigenous peoples than the smaller EU member states: their peoples still maintain the judicial privileges, economic safeguards, social dominance and cultural hegemony that have been lost under the direct globalist rule of the EU.[36] There, citizenship and residency are not handed out to every 'labour migrant'. There, welfare payments and housing facilities are not made available to every 'asylum seeker'. There, the indigenous people are not expected to bend to every whim of cultural-marxism 'diversity' spin doctors. There, modern technology and economic prosperity have been proven to be entirely compatible with ethnic dominance and cultural hegemony. Without denying the specific circumstances and without ignoring the specific problems of the microstates, it is still possible to discern in them the first features of an Archaeo-Futurist Revolution. In this regard, a place of honour is reserved for the Principality of Liechtenstein, which has benefitted from the *direct* and *personal* rule of hereditary Prince Hans-Adam II since the democratically approved constitutional reform of 2003. The Liechtenstein Archaeo-Futurist experiment proves that semi-decisionist governance and

---

[36] In the wake of 'Brexit', even the mainstream media have picked up on the non-globalist 'immigration model' of Europe's microstates, e.g. https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2016/oct/09/liechtenstein-solution-key-to-softer-brexit-tory-mep

protected ethnic identity can very well be combined with a free market mechanism, great prosperity and a high level of social-economic development. Perhaps it is no coincidence that Liechtenstein, which actually constitutes the last legitimately ruled remnant of the Holy Roman Empire, is providing a first glimpse of how an Archaeo-Futurist Europe may look like. This 'Edelweiss Model', hidden high between the Alpine peaks, may inspire the smaller and medium-sized member states of the EU to reject the 'Calimero argument', i.e. the globalist argument that they are 'too small' to be able to stand on their own legs.

From a global (not: globalist) perspective, the situation of the European microstates is effectively not all that different from that of the smaller EU member states. Even at a larger scale, the 'Edelweiss Model' offers a viable alternative to globalism: the social-economic and ethnic-policy models of smaller and medium-size of the prosperous Pacific Rim - Malaysia, Singapore, Brunei, Taiwan, South Korea - offer ample illustrations of viable non-globalist policies.[37] The fact that the 'backward' institution of the Monarchy has been preserved in some of the smaller EU member states - in Scandinavia and the Low Countries - may very well provide them with a distinct *advantage*: the Monarchy can function as a 'reserve sovereignty' on which, in due time, a decisionist counter-offensive against liberal-normative globalism can base itself. Thus, for the Small House of the Netherlands, a New Europe may start with an 'Edelweiss Model' re-positioning. Decades of neo-liberal 'disaster capitalism' and cultural-marxism have caused social implosion and ethnic replacement on an unprecedented and fatal scale: the ruins of fifty years 'purple'[38] prove that the Small House knows as 'The Netherlands' is slated for demolition under globalist EU rule. The Low Countries - Belgium, the Netherlands and Luxembourg - would be well advised to start thinking about a viable alternative: subsidiary-based sovereignty and ethnicity-based identity within a New Europe - a Europe that may be inspired by the Archaeo-Futurist Imperial Idea and the Eurasianist confederate idea. This is what the Low Countries - and all the nations of the West - deserve: a place between the Edelweiss.

Mon Dieu, ayez pitié de nos pauvres peuples

---

[37] Models worth exploring are the ethnicity-based 'Community Funds' of Singapore and *Bumiputra* privileges of Malaysia.

[38] A reference to *De puinhopen van acht jaar paars,* 'The Ruins of Eight Years Purple', the last book of assassinated patriotic leader Pim Fortuyn,. The term 'purple' here refers to the grand political coalition of 'red' social democrats and 'blue' neoliberals that ruled the Netherlands from 1994 to 2002.